CE 007 029 ED 123 357

Levy, Girard W.; And Others AUTHOR

Vocational Preparation in U.S. Correctional TITLE

Institutions: A 1974 Survey. Final Report.

Battelle Memorial Inst., Columbus, Ohio. Center for INSTITUTION

Improved Education.

Manpower Administration (DOL), Washington, D.C. SPONS AGENCY

Office of Research and Development.

DLMA-89-39-72-01-1 REPORT NO

PUB DATE 15 Dec 75 DL-89-39-72-01 CONTRACT

155p.) NOTE

National Technical Information Service, Springfield, AVAILABLE FROM

Virginia 22151 (No price given)

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$8.69 Plus Postage. EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

Correctional Education; Correctional Rehabilitation;

*Corrective Institutions; Educational Programs; Institutionalized Persons; Job Training; *National Surveys; *Prisoners; Program Content; *Program

Effectiveness; Program Evaluation; Questionnaires; Tables (Data); *Vocational Education; Vocational

Rehabilitation

ABSTRACT

A survey involving all United States correctional institutions for male or female, adult or juvenile offenders was conducted to study three potential vocational preparation activities within the institutions: (1) formal vocational training programs, (2) training in prison industries, and (3) training in maintenance and service assignments. Specific information was sought on training goals, programs, practices, numbers participating, staff, and supportive services. Information was obtained from approximately 70% of all institutions through mail survey questionnaires and site-visit interviews with inmates about to be released or paroled. Results indicated that vocational preparation in correctional institutes is generally inadequate; type and size of institution, length of stay of residents, age and sex of residents, distance from a city, racial composition, and security level made little difference on most variables studied. Findings include: only 57% of vocational training programs have outside accreditation; only 32% have adequate facilities; only 50% of program directors considered job skill development as the major program goal; and only 21% provided off-the-job related instruction. Research approach, results, and recommendations are discussed. Survey and interview instruments are appended. It is stated that with a new committeeman and resources, the potential for conducting programs within the institutions is

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from ginal.

Δn

VOCATIONAL PREPARATION IN
U.S. CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS: A 1974 SURVEY

to

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION

December 15, 1975

by

Girard W. Levy, Robert A. Abram, and Diane LaDow

BATTELLE Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

This report was prepared for the Manpower Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, under research and development contract No. 89-39-72-01. Since contractors conducting research and development projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express their own judgment freely, this report does not necessarily represent the official opinion or policy of the Department of Labor. The contractor is solely responsible for the contents of this report.

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING, IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATEO OO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL :NSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY:

いることの国人

			· · ·
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	DLMA 89-39-72-01-1	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Tyric and Subritic Vocational Prena	ration in U. S. Correctional		5. Report Date December 15, 1975
Institutions: A		•	6.
7. Author(s)	Robert A. Abram, and Diane La	Dow	8- Performing Organization Rept. No.
9. Performing Organization			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Center for Improv		•	
Battelle-Columbus	Laboratories		11. Contract/Grant No.
505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 4	3201		DL-89-39-72-01
12. Sponsoring Organization U.S. Department	n Name and Address	•	13. Type of Report & Period Covered
Manpower Adminis			Final
Office of Research	ch and Development W., Washington, D.C. 20213		14.
15. Supplementary Notes	,		

16. Abstracts

The survey involved correctional institutions for male or female, adult or juvenile offenders in the United States. Formal vocational training programs, prison industries, and maintenance and service assignments were evaluated for their potential for vocational preparation. Specific information was sought on training goals, programs, practices, numbers participating, staff, and supportive services. Mail questionnaires were sent to all institutions and site visits were made to 77 institutions. During the site visits, 306 inmates about to be released or paroled were interviewed.

Information was obtained from approximately 70 percent of all institutions. The most significant findings are presented in terms of the need for vocational preparation, the potential currently available, and the weaknesses disclosed. The mail survey results and site-visit interviews established that vocational preparation in correctional institutions is generally inadequate.

17. Key Werds and Document Analysis. 170. Descriptors

Specialized training, Rehabilitation, Evaluation, Surveys Statistical analysis

176. Identifiers Open United Forms

Vocational Preparation, Corrections, Vocational training

17c. COSATI Field/Group 5I

8. Availability Statement Distribution is unlimited.

Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22151.

| 19. Security Class (This Report) | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | 155 | 156 | 156 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 157 |

FINAL REPORT

on

VOCATIONAL PREPARATION IN U.S. CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS: A 1974 SURVEY

, to

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION

December 15, 1975

bν

Girard W. Levy, Robert A. Abram, and Diane LaDow

BATTELLE
Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Approximately 95 percent of all felons incarcerated in Federal and state correctional institutions will eventually be paroled or released into the free community. Most inmates lack the vocational and social skills necessary to compete successfully in the free community. For some time, vocational preparation and training has been the basis of efforts by correctional institutions to reintegrate the offender into the community. While a great deal is known about how vocational preparation programs should be run, there is a recognized information gap between desirable features of a program, and current practices in the institutions. The present survey was undertaken to provide information on current vocational preparation programs and activities in correctional institutions, so that discrepancies between desired features and current practices could be identified, and recommendations made for improving programs and practices.

The survey involved all correctional institutions for male or female, adult or juvenile offenders in the United States. Three potential vocational preparation activities were studied: (a) formal vocational training programs, (b) training in prison industries, and (c) training in maintenance and service assignments. Specific information was sought on training goals, programs, practices, numbers participating, staff, and supportive services. The number of inmates participating in work release programs was also determined. Mail survey questionnaires were sent to all correctional institutions and site visits were made to 77 institutions. The purposes of the site visits were to: (a) evaluate the quality of the vocational preparation activities, and (b) interview inmates about to be released or paroled regarding the training they received, and the relation of the training (if any) to the type of employment they wanted and were able to obtain. \Inmates about to be released are a valuable source of information concerning the nature and extent of vocational preparation in correctional institutions.

Information was obtained from approximately 70 percent of all institutions. The most significant findings are presented in terms of the need for vocational preparation, the potential currently available



in correctional institutions, the weaknesses disclosed, and finally, recommendations for improving vocational preparation in correctional institutions.

The Need for Vocational Preparation

There are approximately 224,000 inmates in U.S. correctional institutions. The typical inmate is young (24 years old), and has not completed high school. A majority will stay in an institution less than two years. About half have a job waiting for them when they leave. Upon release, over half of the inmates will work in unskilled or semiskilled jobs.

Most employment for released inmates is obtained through friends or relatives. Only 20 percent of the inmates indicated that special job programs or persons in the institution assisted them in obtaining outside employment. Less than half of the inmates who participated in training stated that the job waiting for them was related to the training they received in the institution.

The wardens of the institutions estimate that 70 percent of the inmates need to acquire job skills in order to obtain steady outside employment. They also estimate that only 34 percent are likely to acquire sufficient job skills during their stay.

ğ

The Potential for Vocational Preparation

The potential for vocational preparation in correctional institutions is considerable, in terms of programs, facilities, instructional personnel, and participating inmates. Although considerable attention has been given to the concept of community corrections in recent years, only 4 percent of the inmates participate in work release programs, and an additional one half of one percent participate in vocational

training programs conducted outside of the institution. The vast majority of inmates must obtain vocational skills within the institution.

Three out of four institutions conduct formal vocational training programs. Twenty-one percent of all inmates are enrolled, and an additional 9 percent are on waiting lists to enter the programs. Approximately 4,000 instructors provide training in over 140 different vocational areas. Eighty-four percent of the instructors are certified, most by state departments of education. Most programs have their own shop or laboratory. Seventy-eight percent of the programs report having all the tools, equipment, and supplies needed to teach the program.

One out of three correctional institutions has one or more prison industries. Approximately 11 percent of all inmates (mostly adults) work in an industry. As many or more new workers are assigned to prison industries each year. Most of the industries report that inmates have the opportunity to learn the full range of job skills needed for outside employment. Seventy-six percent of the institutions with industries allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned to prison industries.

Over 80 percent of the institutions assign inmates to activities related to the operation or maintenance of the institution. Nearly half of all inmates are working in these activities. Only 57 percent of the activities report that inmates have the opportunity to learn the full range of job skills needed for outside employment. Seventy percent of the institutions with inmate work assignments allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned.

The Weaknesses

One weakness in correctional training activities is the lack of clear goals and a definite commitment to vocational preparation for all inmates. Most activities do not have as their primary goal the development of job skills to enable inmates to obtain employment upon release.

About half of all inmates are unable to participate in vocational training. Among the reasons given are inmates' lack of aptitude or interest, and inability to meet minimum academic requirements.

Another weakness is lack of funds for vocational training. Institutions with vocational training programs spend on the average less than seven percent of their total budget on vocational training. This level of expenditure is inadequate, and results in many program deficiencies. Prison industries, and maintenance opérations activities are usually organized to operate as cost-saving adjuncts to the institution, and not as rehabilitation activities.

Most institutions do not offer sufficient programs to meet individual inmate needs. Large institutions offer approximately nine programs per institution, whereas medium-sized institutions offer seven programs per institution, and small institutions offer only four programs per institution. However, only nine percent of the inmates in large institutions were enrolled in training programs, compared to 28 percent, in medium-sized institutions, and 38 percent in small institutions. Most institutions do not provide special programs geared to the handicapped inmate, the older inmate, the bilingual inmate, and the minority inmate.

A complete vocational training activity should provide more than programs, facilities, and instructors. A full complement of vocational testing, guidance, counseling, job placement, and follow-up services should be available. Although most institutions offer a variety of guidance, counseling, and job placement services, 40 percent of the institutions do not have a person solely responsible for coordinating vocational guidance and counseling, or for coordinating job placement services. Less than half of the institutions have an organized program for following up released or paroled inmates who have had vocational training to find out whether the training was useful in getting and keeping a job. Follow-up evaluation can validate the job market need and the quality of the training provided.

An immate should complete his vocational training shortly before he is released, so that the skills and knowledges he has acquired will be fresh and timely upon release. In many instances, an immate does not have a fixed date on which he is eligible for release or parole, so scheduling training is very difficult. Most institutions begin vocational training as soon as possible after the inmate enters the institution. Even so, only 61 percent of those enrolled in vocational training programs will complete all phases of training. After training is completed or terminated, 30 percent of the trainees are ssigned to unrelated work activities, or are returned to the general institutional population for the remainder of their stay. As many trainees as possible should be assigned to an activity related to their training. Relevant work assignments will frequently enable a trainee to practice or further develop the job skills taught in the program.

All programs and activities should be periodically reviewed and accredited by an outside agency. Over 40 percent of the programs surveyed have not been reviewed and accredited.

Community contact is an important factor in keeping training programs relevant, and in obtaining jobs for trainees. Sixty-six percent of the institutions do not have a local citizen's advisory committee for any of their vocational training programs. Regular tours by outside business personnel are reported by only 33 percent of the directors of training, and only 30 percent allow inmates to make regularly scheduled field trips outside the institution to local businesses or industries. Only 7 percent of the training directors report all three types of community contact. Prison industries, and maintenance and service activities have even less community contact.

Inmates in prison industries, and maintenance and service activities should acquire specific job skills through a combination of on-the-job and off-the-job training. On-the-job training is defined as training that takes place in a work setting during the work day. Off-the-job training is defined as related instruction that takes place in a class-room or shop and which does not occur during the regular productive process.



Although most of the industries and work activities have designated persons responsible for providing on-the-job training, only one out of five uses a written training plan. About six percent of the inmates working in prison industries, and four percent of the inmates working in maintenance or service jobs receive off-the-job training. The absence of off-the-job training limits the effectiveness of the training provided in these work activities.

Apprenticeship training is a formal system of training and skill progression used in many skilled trades. It involves a multiyear program of on-the-job training and related off-the-job instruction.
Apprenticeship programs are applicable to many institutional maintenance activities. However, it is necessary that the training be recognized outside the institution. Only 14 percent of the activities offer approved apprenticeship training programs. Since apprenticeship training extends over several years, it is important that an apprentice trainee be able to apply the hours he has completed to an apprenticeship program outside the institution. This is possible in only 20 percent of the activities.

Recommendations For Improving Vocational Preparation

A number of recommendations, by no means original, can be made to improve the quality of vocational preparation in correctional institutions. Most of the recommendations which follow will require increased funding for implementation.

There should be a greater variety of offerings to meet individual needs. Provisions need to be made not only for more diversified programs and additional training slots, but also for special programs geared to the handicapped inmate, the older inmate, the bilingual inmate, and the minority inmate. This can be achieved through increased use of community resources. All inmates should have the opportunity to participate in meaningful vocational preparation activities. Institutional and program barriers to fuller participation should be removed.

Community contacts should be greatly expanded. These contacts include having active occupational advisory committees for all vocational preparation activities, having regular tours of the training facilities

by outside business or industrial personnel, and having regularly scheduled field trips outside the institution. All vocational preparation activities should be reviewed and accredited by an outside agency.

An improved program of vocational guidance and counseling, and job placement is needed. Trained personnel should be available in each institution to perform these functions. The large percentage of inmates who do not complete the training programs indicates that more attention should be given to vocational testing and counseling, and to scheduling of training. Perhaps shorter, more intensive, modular training programs should be implemented.

The success of the various vocational preparation activities should be regularly evaluated by each institution or correctional system. There should be an organized program for obtaining information on released or paroled inmates who have participated in vocational preparation activities.

Prison industries, and maintenance and service work activities need to be planned from a vocational preparation point-of-view. Off-the-job training should be made a part of all work activities. Institutional work assignments should be planned to support and augment training. Apprenticeship training programs, which are transferable, can be instituted in connection with many work assignments.

The potential for conducting programs within the institutions is great. The current investment in manpower and facilities is significant. However, additional resources and a new commitment are needed to actualize this potential.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted under Contract No. 89-39-72-01 from the Manpower Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. The authors wish to express their thanks to Dr. Thomas Joyce and Dr. Robert Foster of the Manpower Administration for their encouragement and many helpful suggestions throughout the program. The authors also wish to thank Professor Simon Dinitz of The Ohio State University and Mr. John Condrad of the Academy for Contemporary Problems, Columbus, Ohio, for their assistance during the program.

Other Battelle staff who contributed significantly to this program included Winston Horne, Lee Lemos, Laurel Martin, Lois Molholm,
Louis Myers, Jean Newborg, Horace Ray, Allen Schenck, Terry Stohr, and
Paulina White.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	,							-	Page .
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY. :			•	•		. .	•	•	ii
ACKNOWI EDGEMENTS	•		•	•			•	• 1	ix
INTRODUCTION	•		•		• :	. •.	•	•	1
Objective and Scope	•	. :	٠.		• . •		•	•	3
RESEARCH APPROACH	•,		•.	•	•	• •		•	.6
Development of Survey Instruments			• •	•			•	• '	6
Pretest of the Instruments		•		• .	•		• '	•	9
Site Visits	• 1	•					•	•	13
Conduct of the Mail Survey		•		• }	•	• •	•		18
Analysis of the Returns	`	•	• •	•				•	. 18
RESULTS	•	٠.		•		• ,•	•	•	22
Inmate Interviews	•	•	•4 '/•	(•	•	. ^.	· •	•.`.	. 22
Institutional Data	•	•		•	• .		· · · •	•	33
Formal Vocational Training Programs		٠	• •	•	• /	• •	. •	•	55
Prison Industries	• .	•		•	•	•	. •	•	76
Maintenance and Operations		٠,٠	• •	•	•	•	. •	•	84
Evaluation		• ,		•	•	•	•	•	92
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	• •		• .	•	•.,	•		•	96
The Need For Vocational Preparation	• •	•	•	٠.	•	•	• •		9.7
The Potential For Vocational Preparation	• • ·	•	• 3 (•	•	.1		98
The Weaknesses	•, • i	•	•	• •	•	•		· . •	99
Recommendations For Improving Vocational Preparation			• '		•	•	•		102
REFERENCES		_	٠.٠	• •	•	• *			105

1,3

ERIC Fronted by ERIC

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

			Page
	APPENDIX A		
MAIL SURVEY INSTRUMENTS			A-1
	APPENDIX B		
INMATE INTERVITW FORM			B-1
	APPENDIX C	-	
CONTACT FORM LETTER			C-1

VOCATIONAL PREPARATION IN
U.S. CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS: A 1974 SURVEY

bу

Girard W. Levy, Robert A. Abram, and Diane LaDow

INTRODUCTION

The modern goal of a correctional system is to serve and ° protect society through deterrence, offender rehabilitation and reintegration into the community. Since the "reformatory movement" in the late 19th century, correctional institutions have been nominally committed to the proposition that neither punishment nor hard labor lead to effective rehabilitation. However, most rehabilitation programs and prescriptions which have been tried have not been able to demonstrate their effectiveness in rehabilitating the offender (Martinson, 1974; Bailey, 1961)*. Reintegration into the community represents a different approach; one that rests largely upon vocational preparation activities within and without correctional institutions. Education and training have become the foundation of current reintegration efforts. Approximately 200,000 persons are incarcerated in Federal and state correctional institutions. Ninety-five percent of these will eventually be paroled or released into the free community. Thirty to fifty percent of those released will be recommitted to a correctional institution within a year's time (Glaser and O'Leary, 1966; National Council on Crime and Delinquency, 1972).

Census data and other studies (President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice, 1967) have shown that over half of adult felony offenders lack a high school education.

Offenders also frequently lack vocational skills. For example, there



^{*} References are listed alphabetically in the References section of this report.

is a higher proportion of unskilled laborers among prisoners than in the general civilian labor force. It is not surprising, therefore, that effective education and training programs during the period of incarceration significantly enhance the inmate's chances for "survival" in the free community (Glaser, 1964). Further, those who are able to secure meaningful employment following their release from a correctional institution evidence a much lower rate of recidivism than those who do not (Glaser, 1964).

Effective vocational preparation must be based on the needs and employment opportunities of the inmates. The occupations being learned, and the instructional materials and methods employed, must be geared to the characteristics of the resident population.

A truly effective program requires much more than a suitable curriculum and instructional methods; it requires a full array of supportive services such as aptitude testing, job counseling, and postrelease job placement assistance. Well-maintained community ties with potential employers serving on advisory committees can do much to insure that a paroled or released offender will find suitable employment.

Occasionally, an institution limits its own effectiveness by failing to establish priorities among its various activities. An earlier study demonstrated that strong commitments to the correctional industries program, with its emphasis upon productivity and profitability may work at cross purposes with the education and training program.

Inmates were found to be reluctant to give up remunerative work (even though the pay was meager) to enroll in vocational courses. On-the-job training (OJT) was often found to be nonexistent or not relevant for outside employment. Prison maintenance programs sacrificed training in favor of a smoothly functioning institution. Vocational training, at least in the two institutions studied, was found to have low priority (Hitt, Agostino, and Cress, 1968).

While a good deal is known about how education and training programs should be run, there is a recognized information gap between desirable features of a satisfactory program and current practices in correctional institutions. The present study was undertaken to provide information on current vocational preparation programs and activities



in correctional institutions, so that discrepancies between desired features and current practices could be identified, and recommendations made for manpower policy and program planning in the corrections area.

Objectives and Scope

The basic objective of the present study was to determine the nature and extent of vocational preparation activities in Federal and state correctional institutions in the United States. Specific information was sought on training goals, programs, practices, staff, and supportive services.

The survey involved correctional institutions for male or female, adult or juvenile felons. Institutions supervised by cities or counties, and institutions exclusively for misdemeanants were not included. Certain other types of institutions were excluded because they offered little likelihood of conducting vocational preparation activities, either because of the special nature of the population, or the limited length of stay of the residents. These institutions included facilities housing only juveniles under 14 years of age, medical/psychiatric treatment centers, correctional hospitals, narcotic/alcoholic treatment centers, reception/diagnostic centers, pre-sentencing detention centers, and military correctional facilities. Subsidiary units such as honor camps which were colocated with the parent facility (and usually administered by the same director or warden) were covered by the survey of the parent facility. Independent camps (farms, ranches, work camps) and pre-release centers were included.

A national survey of corrections conducted for the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice (1967) showed that there were about 420 state and Federal correctional institutions for adults and 220 for juveniles. These included a variety of specialized facilities (approximately 80) which were not appropriate to the present study. Thus, the present survey covered approximately 560 institutions and facilities. Actually, questionnaires were sent to over 600 institutions. Those institutions which indicated their specialized nature were subsequently excluded from the population of institutions.

4

Three potential vocational preparation activities at the institutions were studied: (a) formal vocational training programs, (b) training in prison industries, and (c) training in maintenance and service assign-The number of inmates participating in work release programs was also determined. Formal vocational training programs constitute a process of skill and knowledge acquisition offered by many correctional institutions which takes place in a classroom or other formal setting at specific times under the general supervision of a person designated as an instructor or trainer. Prison industries included those activities which provide products or services (e.g., furniture, uniforms, license plates, key punching) for use outside the institution. Maintenance and service activities include the various activities Involved in the operation and maintenance of the institution itself, such as food preparation and food service, laundry, clerical work, and general maintenance. Training in prison industries, and maintenance and operations activities may involve a combination of on-the-job and off-the-job training

General educational activities were not included in the present survey. These activities have been the subject of several recent studies (Feldman, 1974; Kerle, 1972; McCollum, 1973; Neff, 1972; New England Resource Center for Occupational Education, 1973). Correctional work release programs were not investigated (other than determining the number of participating inmates), since these programs are adequately described by Swanson (1973). The focus of the present survey was on the variety of vocational preparation activities (formal and informal) conducted within the institutions.

In addition to the mail survey, visits were made to a substantial sample of correctional institutions. The primary purposes of the site visits were to: (a) collect information on key aspects of vocational training in order to evaluate the quality of the programs being offered, and (b) interview inmates about to be released on parole regarding the training they received, and the relationship of the training (if any) to the type of employment they wanted and were able to obtain. Personal interviews and observations were felt to be the most valid way to evaluate vocational training. Inmates about to be released were regarded as potentially

valuable and unique source of information concerning the nature and extent of vocational training in correctional institutions. Other purposes of the site visits were to (a) assess the clarity of the questions and alternatives in the mail questionnaire, and determine changes in wording which might be required, and (b) provide an opportunity for in-depth probing of mail questionnaire items to check the validity of the mail responses.

RESEARCH APPROACH

The survey involved five major steps: (a) development of survey instruments, (b) pretest of the instruments, (c) site visits to a sample of institutions and interviews with inmates about to be released, (d) conduct of the mail survey, and (e) analysis of the returns. Each of these steps is discussed more fully below.

Development of Survey Instruments

Mail Questionnaire Development

The development of the mail questionnaires was based on three considerations. First, vocational preparation can occur in a variety of institutional settings, the most obvious being formal vocational training courses organized and conducted by the institution's education and training department. Vocational preparation can also occur in prison industries and in activities related to the maintenance and operation of the institution (e.g., painting, plumbing, food service, hospital, accounting, etc.). All institutions were not expected to offer all activities. Thus, the survey instruments had to address a variety of vocational preparation activities in the institutions.

Second, an in depth assessment of the nature and extent of vocational preparation requires a large amount of information, which could result in an unreasonably long questionnaire for one respondent, or could require detailed information which would not be available to a single respondent. Early in the project it was decided that multiple questionnaires would have to be developed, and an efficient means found to distribute each questionnaire to the appropriate respondents in each institution. The strategy decided upon involved mailing a complete package of questionnaires to the head of the institution. A short questionnaire was designed for completion by the warden or superintendent (or his designee), and if certain activities were conducted in the institution, the questionnaire



requested that the appropriate other questionnaires be distributed to the persons in charge of those activities. For example, if the institution had inmates assigned to a prison industry, the warden was requested to distribute the prison industry questionnaires to the institution's director of industries.

Third, the specific contents of the questionnaires were designed to provide information in the following areas:

- Characteristics of the institutions and its inmates population
- 2. Goals of training activities
- 3. Nature and extent of training available
- 4. Selection and placement of inmates into training activities
- 5. Characteristics of trainees of
- 6. Adequacy of training equipment and facilities
- 7. Characteristics of instructional staff
- 8. Motivation and evaluation of trainees
- 9. Amount and sources of financial support
- 10. Extent of supportive services
- 11. Extent of community relations

Specific information needs were identified for each area, and lists of questions prepared. These questions were grouped to form a questionnaire for each of the potential respondents, viz, warden, director of vocational training, vocational training instructor, director of prison industries, and directors of maintenance and operations. Thus, the age distribution of inmates was identified as a specific information need under the first area(characteristics of the institution and its inmate population) and a question was prepared. This question was assigned to the Warden questionnaire, since he was judged to be the most appropriate respondent.

Questionnaires were developed to obtain information from the following respondents:

- Warden or superintendent characteristics of the institution and its inmate population, and amount and sources of financial support
- Director of vocational training information common to all vocational training programs at the institution



- Vocational training instructors information specific to a single program
- Director of prison industries information common to all industries at the institution, and information on training in specific industries
- Directors of maintenance and operations information common to the activity, and information on training in specific activities

The mail survey instruments are included as Appendix A of this report.

The format and content of all questionnaires was reviewed and critiqued several times by the project staff, by consultants in the fields of corrections and vocational training, and by personnel from the Manpower Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. During this process, questions were added and deleted, wording was revised to improve clarity, and response categories were developed. The instruments were then field tested at six correctional institutions, representing adult and juvenile Federal and state, and maximum, medium, and minimum security institutions. This preliminary test indicated that the questions were generally easy to read and answer. The organization of the survey into different questionnaires corresponded with institutional organizations, and was fully intelligible to corrections personnel. A few questions required revision. Finally, the questionnaires were judged suitable for use. Although considerable effort had been made to keep each instrument at a reasonable length, the entire package was very extensive.

Development of the Inmate Interview Form

The inmate interviews were designed to obtain information concerning:

- 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the inmate interviewed
- 2. Nature and extent of any training received
- 3. Perceived quality of the training
- 4. When the training was received (relative to commitment and release)
- 5. Type of employment expected upon release
- Relationship of training to post-release employment and previous work experience
- 7. Job placement assistance



An inmate interview form was developed for oral administration by a trained interviewer. This form is included as Appendix B.

Pretest of the Instruments

Prior to the conduct of the full survey, a sample of 164 institutions were selected for a mail pretest of the instruments and procedures. The pretest was conducted to provide data for the purposes of (a) verifying the approach accepted for enlisting the cooperation of state and institutional administrative staff, (b) verifying the approach selected for having the different forms distributed within each institution, and (c) estimating the response rate, in order to guide decisions on possible revisions of the mail instruments.

Pretest Sample

The pretest sample size of 164 represented approximately 25 percent of the population of institutions to be surveyed in the full mail survey. The pretest sample was restricted to male institutions, in order to reduce the diversity of programs being investigated. Female institutions, however, were covered in the site visits and in the full mail survey.

The (male) institutions for the pretest were randomly selected from three levels of institutional size (large, medium, and small institutions), and two levels of type of inmate (adult and juvenile). Large institutions were defined as institutions with 1000 or more inmates. Medium institutions were defined as institutions with 200 to 999 inmates. Small institutions were defined as institutions with fewer than 200 inmates.

An attempt was made to select institutions in proportion to the number of inmates represented in each of the six categories (see Table 1). This approach was not completely feasible, due to limited information available about the size of many institutions (prior to the full survey). Table 1 indicates the types of institutions chosen for the pretest. The minimum sample size for each type of institution was set at ten. There was only one large juvenile male institution.

TABLE 1. DISTRIBUTION OF INSTITUTIONS SELECTED FOR THE PRETEST

		Size	•		-
Туре	Small	Medium	Large		<u>Total</u>
Adult	9 a	53 ^a	, ' 70	•	132
Juvenile	10	21	1		32
Total	19	74	71	٠	164

Based on new size information, one institution shifted from "small adult" to "medium adult" category.

Contacts at the State Level

A form letter was sent to all state directors of adult or juvenile institutions selected. This letter (reproduced as Appendix C)
requested the state director to furnish a letter of approval from his
department to be mailed to the appropriate wardens or superintendents
along with the questionnaires. The suggested letter of approval (which
was almost always used) is also included in Appendix C. In all,
forty-one states plus the District of Columbia and the U. S. Bureau of
Prisons were contacted. Fifty-eight different departments were involved.
Numerous telephone follow-ups were made in order to elicit the state-level
departments' cooperation.

The results of the contacts at the state level are presented in Table 2. It can be seen that cooperation was, in general, excellent. Nearly two-thirds of the institutions were contacted by their own state-level departments in support of the survey. Ninety-six institutions received written notification supporting the survey.

Pretest Mailing and Institutional Follow-up

The pretest survey instruments were mailed to the institutions during the month of July, 1973. After three weeks had elapsed, follow-up telephone calls were made to those institutions from which some responses had not been received. These calls revealed the need for some additional mailings, since many institutions reported that the forms had not arrived, or were misplaced because they were addressed to personnel no longer at the institution. Further contacts by mail or telephone were made to all institutions which had not returned the applicable forms. In general, all institutions contacted were very cooperative and promised prompt completion and return of the forms. Additional forms for vocational training programs had to be mailed to several institutions due to the large number of programs at those institutions. When most of the forms from an institution had been received, a special mailing of just the missing forms (indicating the appropriate respondent by name) proved very successful.



TABLE 2. RESULTS OF STATE-LEVEL CONTACTS FOR THE PRETEST

	Number of Departments	Number of Institutions
Department sent letters to Battelle	21 ^a	65 ^b
Department sent letters directly to institutions	12	31
Department informed wardens verbally	3	6
Department instructed Battelle to contact institutions directly	4	8
No state-level department (Institutions contacted directly	5	6
Department agreed to send letters, but Battelle did not receive same (Institutions contacted directly	6	20
No reply to letter, Department could not be reached by phone (Institutions contacted directly)		3
Department required that forms be completed at state level (Forms sent to state-level representative)	3	17
Department informed Battelle that institution did not meet survey criteria (Institution was not contacted)	1	1
Department declined to support survey at this time (Institutions were not contacted)	2	7
Totals	58	164

a Includes U.S. Bureau of Prisons Includes 15 Federal institutions

During the follow-up contacts, two institutions were identified as being outside the scope of the survey; one was no longer a correctional institution and one housed only juveniles under 14 years of age.

Pretest Response Rate

One hundred twenty institutions (75 percent) responded with one or more forms. The response rate, based on the proportion of completed questionnaires received from various respondents within the institutions, generally exceeded 50 percent. This figure was judged sufficient to verify the approach for enlisting state cooperation, and the method for distributing forms within each institution. Ample data was collected to select institutions for the site visits and to identify problem areas in the questionnaires.

Site Visits

Following the pretest, a sample of 80 institutions were selected for site visits. Sixty were drawn from among the institutions that had responded to the mail pretest. Ten were selected from among those institutions that had not responded. These latter institutions were sampled in an effort to determine whether they were different in ways significant to the objectives of the study from those that did respond. The remaining ten institutions for site visits were drawn from the population of female institutions.

As previously indicated, the purposes of the site visits were to (a) collect information on key aspects of vocational training in order to evaluate the quality of the programs being offered, (b) interview inmates about to be released regarding the training they received, and the relationship of the training (if any) to the type of employment they wanted and were able to obtain, (c) assess the clarity of the questions and alternatives in the mail questionnaire, and determine changes in wording which might be required, and (d) provide an opportunity for indepth probing of mail questionnaire items to check the validity of the mail responses.

Institutions that responded to the mail pretest were selected for site visits according to three criteria: (1) all institutions were to have some off-the-job training, (2) a minimum of 50 institutions were to have formal vocational training programs, and (3) a minimum of 20 institutions were to have prison industries (but not necessarily off-the-job training in prison industries). These criteria were introduced to assure that the various aspects of the mail questionnaires (formal vocational training, prison industries, and off-the-job training) could be investigated during the site visits.

Sixty institutions that had indicated in their pretest responses that they had some off-the-job training were randomly selected for site visits. Of these 60 institutions, all had formal vocational training programs and 34 had prison industries. Thus, the three selection criteria above were met for these institutions.

Institutions which did not respond to the mail pretest (and to follow-ups) were contacted to determine if they would permit a site visit, and if they had any off-the-job training opportunities. Ten institutions which did not return the mail questionnaires, but which would permit a site visit and had some off-the-job training were selected.

Female institutions were also contacted to determine if they would permit a site visit, and if they had any off-the-job training opportunities. Ten institutions which met these criteria were selected from a population of 68 female institutions in the country.

In addition to the criteria mentioned above, it was desirable to have the institutions selected in proportion to the percentage of inmates in large, medium, and small institutions, both adult and juvenile. Thus, a sampling plan was implemented that utilized "size of inmate population" (small, medium or large) and "type of institution" (juvenile or adult) as stratification variables. While geographical location of institutions was not a primary consideration, it was of concern that a reasonable representation by geographic region result from the selection process. Thus, constraints were established for the site visits, such that no more than two adult male large institutions and two adult male medium-sized institutions could be selected from any state. The more



populous states had more of these institutions than they did small adult institutions and all size categories of juvenile institutions. Because of the relatively small number of female institutions in the country, a constraint was established that no more than one female institution per state would be selected.

Site Visit Interview Forms

The site visit instruments were developed to provide information that would supplement or expand upon the mail questionnaire data and thus, provide some insight into the interpretation of the information provided by the mail survey.

A review of the pretest responses revealed a number of questions that were not responded to appropriately and for which, therefore, there was concern regarding the phraseology and overall interpretation. These questions were repeated in the site visit instruments and their interpretation and/or comprehension by interviewees probed with additional questions. The purpose was to determine which questions needed modification, and further, to provide guidance on how such questions might be modified to that they would be clearly understood by respondents in the full mail survey.

Another category of questions for the site visit instruments was developed to follow-up selected questions on the mail instruments. These questions were designed to probe for more detail on certain issues (e.g., How is this done? How many inmates are involved? How often is it done?), and thus provided information for evaluating the quality of training.

A third category of questions focused on key areas in correctional training programs that are inherently complex and thus difficult to address in a mail survey (e.g., the selection and classification of inmates for vocational training).

Six interview forms were developed for the site visits. Each form pertained to the job responsibilities and/or experiences of a different respondent:

• Warden or Superintendent



- Director of Vocational Training
- Instructors of Vocational Training
- Director of Prison Industries
- Director of Maintenance and Operations
- Inmates.

Questions on the instrument for superintendents or wardens pertained primarily to training topics that required an institution-wide perspective. In particular, these interviewees were asked to assess the need for vocational training in the institution, to provide objective information on length of stay of the inmate population, and to provide data on operating expenditures for the institution and for the vocational training programs. The directors of vocational training were asked to respond to questions on the characterisitics and operation of the institutions training programs. Issues that were emphasized in these interviews were the selection and classification of inmates for training, vocational guidance and job placement services, and community interaction. The evaluation of training programs was based on information collected in these interviews. Questions for instructors focused on specific aspects of their vocational training programs such as scheduled training time, waiting lists, entry requirements, curriculum, tools and equipment, and on-the-job training assignments. Questions asked of the directors of prison industries and the directors of maintenance and operations activities probed for information on the nature and extent of formal training connected with these types of prison assignments. Finally, an instrument for inmate interviews was developed to obtain their opinion on the quality of the vocational training they received, how they were assigned to training programs, and their plans for employment upon release.

The instruments were designed so that no more than 45 minutes of a respondent's time would be required to complete the interview. Most of the questions were close-ended, requiring only that the interviewer select the appropriate response category or fill in a numerical answer.

Conduct of the Site Visits

In order to facilitate the data collection effort at institutions, state administrators of education programs for correctional institutions



in each state involved in the site visit were first contacted to discuss with them the plans for visiting the sampled institutions. State administrators were requested to contact the warden of the institutions involved in the site visits to provide preliminary details of the study and verify the schedule of visits. In a few cases, internal problems within an institution required that an alternate institution with the same characteristics (i.e., size and type) be selected. Generally, however, state administrators and wardens of correctional institutions were cooperative regarding the granting of approvals for site visits.

A one-day training session was held with the five members of the field team to discuss data collection procedures and review each of the interview protocols on a question by question basis. The field team, comprised of experienced interviewers, was briefed on the intent of each question and familiarized with sampling procedures required to select some of the interviewees.

The nominal plan for site visits specified that interviews be conducted at each institution with the warden, director of vocational training, director of prison industries, director of maintenance, up to four vocation training instructors, and five inmates who were about to be released or paroled within 90 days of the date of the site visit. All of these interviews were not conducted at each institution visited, since some institutions did not have prison industries or could not make inmates available for interviews.

During the site visits, any mail questionnaires which were not completed were distributed on site, and either collected by the interviewer before he left the institution or mailed directly to Battelle.

While it was planned that 80 institutions would be visited, transportation difficulties and unanticipated security problems within the institutions prevented visits to three institutions. In general, respondents within the institutions visited made every effort to answer questions and make available information and materials related to the institutions' training programs.

Use of Site Visit Information for Evaluation

An evaluation of vocational training in correctional institutions was made on the basis of information collected from directors of vocational training during the site visits. These personal interviews, supplemented by direct observation, mail survey data, and information collected from inmate interviews, were regarded as the most valid basis for evaluation.

The evaluation was conducted as follows. Ten criteria or essential aspects of good vocational training programs were identified. These criteria are viewed as minimum necessary conditions or desirable aspects of quality programs and are concerned with the environmental setting for vocational training. A detailed evaluation of individual programs was not attempted.

The ten criteria used were as follows:

- The institution has at least ten vocational training programs.
- Inmates' preferences play an active role in their assignment to programs.
- Inmates are formally reviewed for reassignment every six months or less.
- There is at least one meaningful minimum entrance requirement for the programs.
- The institution regularly provides vocational guidance and counseling for 75 percent or more of the inmates.
- The institution regularly provides job placement services for trainees.
- The institution has a formal activity for all its vocational training programs for regularly following up released trainees to find out whether or not their training was useful.
- The institution has an active citizens advisory committee for each of its vocational training programs.



- Outside business or industry personnel regularly (at least anually) tour the training facilities.
- There are regularly (at least annually) scheduled inmate field trips outside the institution to local businesses or industries.

Each of these criteria are discussed below.

The number of formal training programs is important for two reasons. First, a variety of programs is necessary to satisfy the variety of inmate interests, backgrounds, and needs likely to be encountered in an institution. Second, a variety of programs is necessary to create an environment conducive to learning, by engaging the energies of a significant number of inmates and staff. An average of 5.5 training programs per institution, and an average of 17 trainees per program were reported in the mail survey. A criterion of 10 programs per institution was selected as a reasonable standard. The inmate interviews revealed that over half of the inmates wanted other types of training which were not available at their institution.

Freedom to choose the type of training is an important consideration from a trainee motivation point of view. Over 90 percent of the inmates who participated in training activities reported some degree of choice regarding the activities in which they participated. This choice was often limited by the availability of slots in existing programs. The criterion that an inmate's preference should play an active role in his assignment to a training program was regarded as an important precondition for quality training.

The average vocational training program runs for approximately six months. Since almost half the programs have no definite duration, it is important that inmates be periodically reviewed for progress and appropriateness of their assignment. The criterion was established that inmates should be formally reviewed for reassignment every six months or less.

Although a large number of program prerequisites may act as a barrier to enrollment of interested and needy students, some minimum standards of aptitude or achievement are generally regarded as indicative of serious training activities. The criterion was established that there should be at least one minimum entrance requirement for the institutions' vocational training programs.



A full complement of vocational testing, guidance, counseling, job placement, and follow-up services should be available in the institution. This concept is the basis for establishing three criteria: (a) that the institution should provide vocational guidance and counseling services for most of the inmates, (b) that the institution should provide job placement services for trainees, and (c) that the institution should follow up trainees to determine whether the training was useful in getting and keeping a job. This latter criterion is especially important as it provides the basis for evaluating the outcome of training, thus motivating the institutions to do a better job, and also provides the feedback information needed to revise the programs in order to be more effective. Institutions that do not guide and consel inmates, do not try to place trainees in jobs, and do not seek to determine if their training is effective are not likely to be conducting quality training programs which aim to teach meaningful job skills useful on the outside. Often these activities degenerate into "time fillers" or "busy work", which sap the motivation of trainee and instructor alike.

An occupational advisory committee for each training program provides many benefits. "Outside people from business, or education, can advise on program content, aid is securing necessary tools or supplies, and provide jobs for released trainees. The criterion was established that there be an active committee that meets at least annually for each vocational training program in the institution.

The practice of encouraging outside business or industry personnel to tour the training facilities and observe the training activities serves to maintain ties with community. The criterion was established that outside business or industry personnel should tour the training facilities on an annual basis.

Allowing inmates to travel outside the institution to observe practices in local businesses and industries is a very important form of community contact which tends to break down some of the misconceptions about jobs on the outside. It is also a good pedagogical device in its own right. The criterion was established that trainees should visit local businesses or industries at least annually.

The number of criteria met or satisfied by each institution was determined from the information collected during the site visits.

Thus, an institution could meet from zero to ten criteria. The results of the evaluation are presented in the Results section of the report.



Conduct of the Mail Survey

Following the site visits, minor revisions were made to the mail instruments. Some questions were dropped because they were nearly always answered in the same way by all pretest respondents. Some questions were reworded to improve their meaning or response format.

While the mail instruments were being revised, letters of approval were again solicited from state directors. Eighty-three different state-level departments including the District of Columbia and the U.S. Bureau of Prisons were contacted. The results of the contacts at the state level are presented in Table 3. As was the case with the pretest, cooperation was excellent.

Institutions that responded to the pretest questionnaires and those non-respondent institutions that received site visits were not sent questionnaires during the full mail survey. All institutions that were not selected for the pretest and non-respondent institutions that were not visited were part of the full survey. Approximately 450 institutions were sent questionnaires during the full mail survey. The returns were combined, where possible, with the returns obtained from the pretest and site visits.

Approximately three weeks after the initial mailings, follow-up telephone calls were made to those institutions which had not responded. Contacts were continued until a response was obtained, or it was determined that no response would be forthcoming.

Analysis of the Returns

The data analysis step was a complex one due to the large number of different types of data which were collected. In addition to the different survey questionnaires for different respondents, there were two data collection periods; the pretest and the full survey.

Also, an institution typically submitted multiple returns, each describing a different training activity.

In order to ensure that the appropriate data were received from each institution, items were included on the questionnaires which indi-



TABLE 3 . RESULTS OF STATE-LEVEL CONTACTS
FOR THE FULL SURVEY

<u>Result</u>	Number of Departments	Number of Institutions	•
Department sent letters to Battelle	48 ^a	288 ^b	
Department sent letters directly to institutions	2	20	•
Department informed wardens orally	6	35	. •
Department instructed Battelle			;
to contact institutions directly	6	19	
No state-level department (Institutions contacted directly)	4	~· 4	·
Department agreed to send letters, but Battelle did not receive	. /		
same (Institutions contacted directly)	á	20	• •
No reply to letter. Department could not be reached by phone	$\frac{l}{l}$		
(Institutions contacted directly) 2 ′	10	
Department required that forms be completed at state-level (Forms			
sent to state-level representation	ve) 10	35	
Department declined to support sur (Institutions were not contacted		15	
Totals	83	446	

a Includes U.S. Bureau of Prisons

b Includes Federal institutions not participating in the pretest

cated what questionnaires and how many of them were to be submitted by each institution. Based upon these items, a master log was kept as the questionnaires were being received. This log contained the institution code number, the date the questionnaires were mailed out, the date the questionnaires were received, the number of each questionnaire expected, and the number of each questionnaire received.

After the receipt of each questionnaire and its being logged-in, the questionnaire was edited by hand. The purpose of this editing was twofold: preparation of the questionnaire for direct keypunching and correction of invalid or inappropriate data. At the same time that a questionnaire was being edited, various codes were assigned to each questionnaire form. The institution code was placed on all of the different returns from that institution. Also, all vocational programs, prison industries, and maintenance and operations activities were assigned unique code numbers and these codes were placed on the appropriate returns from each institution. This activity assured that the different data for each institution would be linked together and the different programs, industries, and activities would be identified.

After the data from each questionnaire was edited and coded, it was keypunched and verified on cards. At the end of the data collection period, a total of fourteen data files were generated - one for each questionnaire and each of the two data collection periods. The data from each of these files were then machine-edited. Out-of-range, illegal, and inconsistent data were identified and corrected. In particular, revisions to the codes for institution size and type (age and sex distribution) were made by examining specific institutional data items.

Since the data from the pretest and full survey questionnaires were essentially the same, the decision was made to merge the data from these two files for each of the forms. Those items which remained the same or were changed in only minor, inconsequential ways from the pretest to the full survey were treated as the same item. The few items which were changed significantly, were added, or were dropped from the pretest to the <u>full</u> survey were treated as separate items. If an item was not present on one of the survey files, each record in that survey

file was assigned missing data (blanks) for that item. This modification made it possible to merge the pretest and full survey data files for each of the seven questionnaires, leaving seven data files instead of fourteen.

Further merging of these seven data files was judged to be unnecessary. Instead, it was decided to carry out a separate set of analyses for each of the seven forms. However, certain institutional data were identified as being critical to the analysis of data from the other questionnaires. Consequently, the institutional data file was merged with the other six files. This merge added the institutional data for any one institution to the program data for that institution in each of the other six files.



RESULTS

The results are presented in six parts: inmate interviews, institutional data, formal vocational training programs, prison industries, maintenance and operations, and evaluation.

Inmate Interviews

A total of 306 inmates about to be released or paroled were interviewed at 71 different institutions. Usually 4 to 5 inmates were individually interviewed at each institution, but in 6 institutions visited, no inmate interviews were conducted. This was due to either insufficient time or inability to identify suitable interviewees at these institutions.

Most of the inmates interviewed (69 percent) were incarcerated in institutions housing primarily adult males. Twelve percent of the interviewees were adult or juvenile females. Table 4 indicates the percent of interviewees in small, medium, and large institutions. Table 5 presents the ages of the inmates interviewed. Table 6 presents the highest grade in school completed by the interviewees. The marital status of the inmates interviewed is shown in Table 7. Forty-five percent of the interviewees were Black and five percent were members of other minority groups.

the institution less than one year. Approximately 58 percent received some job training during their stay at the institution. This percentage varied significantly with several variables. Inmates in adult male institutions were less likely to receive job training than inmates in other types of institutions (52% versus 70%). This may reflect the greater difficulty in training adult males or the relative lack of job training opportunities in institutions for adult males. As the size of an institution increases, the percentage of inmates receiving job training decreases. Seventy percent of the inmates in small institutions (less than 200 inmates) reported receiving job training, whereas 61 percent of the inmates in medium-sized institutions (between 200 and 999 inmates), and only 44 percent of the inmates in large institutions (1000 or more inmates) reported receiving job training. Security problems



TABLE 4. PERCENT OF INMATES INTERVIEWED BY SIZE OF INSTITUTION

Institution Size			Percent	
Small (less than 200 inmates)	*	•	19.9	
Medium (200-999 inmates)	• •		49.7	
Large (1000 or more inmates)		•	30.4	٤.
	· .	· 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Total	Constitution of the Consti		100.0	
N=306				

TABLE 5. PERCENT OF INMATES INTERVIEWED BY AGE

Age (years)	. %	Percent
less than 18		13.1
18 - 20		18.0
21 - 30	,	45.4
31 - 40	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	13.4
greater than 40		10.1
Total N=306		100.0

TABLE 6. PERCENT OF INMATES INTERVIEWED BY HIGHEST GRADE IN SCHOOL COMPLETED

Highest Grade Completed	Percent
Less than 8	11.6
8	16.7
9 - 11	39.8
12	26.1
-Greater than 12	5.7
Total N=138	100.0

TABLE 7. PERCENT OF INMATES INTERVIEWED BY MARITAL STATUS

Marital Status	Percent
Never married	63.8
Presently married	14.9
Divorced or separated	17.7
Widowed	3.5
Total	100.0
N=141	

in large institutions may be one explanation for the relative lack of job training. Table 8 shows that inmates over 30 years of age were less likely to have received training. Of the various types of training activities possible, a formal vocational training program was the predominate activity providing job training.

Those inmates who reported receiving some vocational training averaged 7.7 months of training. The amount of training, however, varied significantly with several variables. The greater the length of an inmate's stay, the more training he tended to receive. Inmates staying less than one year averaged 5 months of training, whereas inmates staying one to less than three years averaged 10 months of training. This is probably due to inmates with longer sentences qualifying for more or longer training programs. The same consideration may explain the finding that inmates of adult male institutions averaged slightly longer training than inmates in other types of institutions (8.6 months versus 6.2 months), since sentences tend to be longer in adult male institutions.

Although more than half the inmates interviewed participated in some job training activities, only 44% of the training activities were completed. (An additional 15% of the activities had no definite duration, e.g., on-the-job training in a maintenance activity.) The main reason given for not completing training was that the inmate was still in the program. Approximately 61 percent of the inmates receiving training were participating in a raining program at the time of the interviews. Forty-two percent of these inmates stated that they will not complete their training before release.

Table 9 presents the inmate's ratings of the training received. Seventy-eight percent of the inmates rated their training as good or excellent.

freedom to chose training and the type of training are important from a motivational point of view. Nearly 91 percent of those who participated in training activities reported some degree of choice regarding which programs or activities they participated in. This choice



TABLE 8. PERCENT OF INMATES RECEIVING TRAINING BY INMATE AGE

Inmate Age (years)	Number in Age Group	Number Receiving Training	Percent Receiving Training
Less than 18	40	2.7	67.5
18 to 20	55	37	67.3
21 to 30	139	82	59.0
31 to 40	41	20	48.8
Greater than 40	31	11	35.5
All Inmates	306	177	57.8

TABLE 9. INMATE RATINGS OF TRAINING QUALITY

Rating		Percent	
Excellent		35.1	•
Good	:	43.2	•
Fair		16.2	
Poor		5.4	
Total N=148		100.0	

was usually limited by existence of the program at the institution, openings in the existing programs, and sufficient time to complete the program. Thirty-nine percent of the inmates wanted to participate in other programs at their institution, but weren't able to do so. The main reasons given were too short a stay (33%), institutional restrictions (23%), and lack of openings (14%). Fifty-three percent of the inmates wanted other types of training which were not available at the institutions.

One of the main concerns of the inmate interviews involved the relation of training to outside employment. Approximately 44 percent of the inmates had a job waiting for them when they were released.

(An additional 12 percent of the inmates were returning to school.) Of those inmates with a job waiting for them, 67 percent stated they would try to "stick with" the job. Table 10 indicates the level of job skills involved in the jobs. Sixty-five percent of the inmates with a job waiting upon release described the job as similar to ones they had held on the outside. Seventy-two percent stated that the job they had waiting was about the same in quality to previous jobs, whereas 27 percent described it as better, and 2 percent described it as worse.

Table 11 lists the ways that the outside employment was obtained. Only 20 percent of the inmates indicated that special job programs or persons in the institution assisted them in obtaining outside employment.

Forty percent of the inmates who received training stated that the job waiting for them was related to the training they received at the institutions. Since the job waiting for them was so often described as similar to previous jobs, it may be inferred that in many cases, the training assisgnments were actually made on the basis of previous job experiences. This would inflate the apparent relationship between training and the outside job waiting for the inmates.

Sixty-eight percent of the inmates who received training stated that the training was helpful in getting the job. Considering the similarity of the job to previous jobs, the fact that the job was about the same in quality to previous jobs, and the fact that the job was usually

TABLE 10. TYPE OF WORK INVOLVED IN JOB WAITING UPON RELEASE

Type	Percent
Unskilled	12.2
Semi-skilled	40.9
Skilled	36.5
Business and Other	10.4
Total N=115	100.0

TABLE 11. SOURCE OF OUTSIDE EMPLOYMENT

Source	 Percent
Through a friend or relative	43.1
Through previous émployer	16.5
Through personal application	15.6
Through job program or persons in the institution	20.2
Other	4.6
Total N=109	 100.0

obtained through a friend, or relative, this figure also appears inflated. It may be that the "helpfulness" of training is related to employer awareness of participation in a program or to the institutional work record, as well as being related to the knowledge and skills obtained. Useful skill training would require longer program participation, higher completion rates, and more institutional involvement in placement. The more skilled and useful the training, the less similar the job waiting upon release would be to previous jobs. Low-level training, unfortunately, is transferable and applicable to a wider variety of jobs than high-level skill acquisition.

Inmates who received training were in the institution an average of 4.6 months before being assigned to a training program or activity. They remained in the institution an average of 8.5 months after training was completed before being released or paroled. The average length of stay for all inmates whether they received training or not, was approximately 20 months.

Institutional Data

Institutional data forms were received from 424 institutions, or approximately 76 percent of the population of institutions. Most data forms were prepared at the institutions and returned directly. In a few states, the data forms were prepared by the state department of corrections for all institutions in the state. Table 12 provides an analysis of the response rate by size and type of institution. In each cell of this table, the numerator of the fraction is the number of responding institutions in the cell, the denominator is the estimated number of institutions in the population, and the quotient is the response rate (expressed as a percentage). The overall response rate was used in preparing estimates for the population of institutions.

A set of specific definitions were used to categorize the population of institutions, and are described below. Respondent institutions were categorized on the basis of the information they applied. Non-respondent institutions were categorized on the basis of information available in the American Correctional Association's Directory of Correc-



TABLE 12. SURVEY RESPONSE RATES BY SIZE AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION

		Size of Institution	stitution	
Type of Institution	Small	Medium	Large	Total
Adult Male	79.69 = 69.6%	82/106 = 77.4%	42/48 = 87.5%	218/289 = 75.4%
Adult Female	11	11		24/33 = 72.7%
Adult Male and Female	11	11	4/4 = 100.0%	= 93.
Juvenile Male	It ~	25/35 = 71.4%	5/5 = 100.0%	3 = 72.
Juvenile Female	ıı	11		26/34 = 76.5%
Invenile Male and Female	11	u	1.1	28/35 = 80.0%
Mixed Age Male	11	14/15 = 93.3%	2/2 = 100.0%	11
Mixed Age Female	"	1		= 100.
Mixed Age Male and Female	1/1 = 100.0%	1/1 = 100.0%	1	2/2 = 100.0%
Adult	116/163 = 71.2%	l	* · jj	256/337 = 76.0%
lovenile	11	34/47 = 72.3%	5/5 = 100.0%	11
Mixed Age	19/28 = 67.9%		11	36/46 = 78.3%
Male	159/229 = 69.4%	121/156 = ~77.6%	49/55 = 89.1%	329/440 = 74.8%
Female	43/55 = 78.2%	8/13 = 61.5%	!!	51/68 = 75.0%
Both Male and Female	11	14/16 = 87.5%	4/4 = 100.0%	u
Total	228/316 = 72.2%	143/185 = 77.3%	53/59 = 89.8%	424/560 = 75.7%

defined as institutions with less than 200 inmates, medium-sized institutions were defined as institutions with 200 to 999 inmates, and large institutions are those with 1000 or more inmates.

Adult institutions were defined as institutions where more than 90 percent of the inmates are 18 years of age or older. Juvenile institutions were defined as those where more than 90 percent of the inmates are under 18. Institutions not meeting the adult or juvenile definition were classified as "mixed age".

Male institutions were defined as those with less than 10 female inmates. Female institutions were defined as those with less than 10 male inmates. Institutions not meeting the male or female definition were classified as "both". It is assumed that an institution with fewer than 10 members of a minority sex would not provide vocational preparation activities specifically for that minority, and therefore, the institution and its activities would be appropriately classified.

The institutional data provides a description of (a) the responding institutions and their resident populations, (b) the institutions' vocational preparation activities, and (c) the amount and sources of support for vocational training.

Table 13 describes the sizes of responding institutions in terms of numbers of residents. A majority of the institutions are small. It can be seen in Table 13 that 12 percent of the institutions house 47 percent of the total inmate population.

Table 14 describes the nature of the responding institutions, and Table 15 describes the institutional security levels. The NCCD survey of state correctional institutions for adults (President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice, 1967) reported that approximately 54 percent of the adult institutions could be classified as prisons, and 18 percent as training schools. These figures are not strictly comparable to the present survey, since this survey includes juvenile and Federal institutions. The inclusion of juvenile institutions would be expected to raise the percentage of training schools and lower the percentage of prisons. Table 14 reflects these shifts, as expected.

TABLE 13. SIZE OF THE RESPONDING INSTITUTIONS

Size Category	Percent of Respon Institutions	ding	Average Number \ of Residents	Percent of Resident Population
Small	53.8		99.7	12.4
Medium	33.7	٠.	519.6	. \ 40.5
Large	12.5	٠	1629.6	47.1
v ·	N=424		Av. = 432.6	N=183,402

TABLE 14. NATURE OF THE RESPONDING INSTITUTIONS.

Description	Percent of Responding Institutions
Prison, penitentiary, or reformatory	41.0
Detention or classification center	5.0
Training school	26.0
Farm or work camp	9.5
Pre-release center or halfway house	1.4
Other	17.1
Total N=420	100.0

The NCCD survey also reported that approximately 29 percent of the adult institutions were minimum security type, 35 percent were medium security, 16 percent were maximum security, and 19 percent were of mixed security classifications. Again, the inclusion of juvenile institutions in the present survey would be expected to raise the percentage of minimum security institutions, and lower the percentage of maximum security institutions. Table 15 reflects these shifts, as expected.

The location of correctional institutions with regard to urban areas is significant for a number of reasons. From a vocational preparation point of view, nearness to an urban area may provide more qualified training personnel and more frequent community contacts. It may also provide greater access to vocational counseling and job placement services, and a greater variety of job openings for released inmates. Table 16 presents the percent of responding institutions at different distances from the nearest city. Forty percent of the institutions are more than 25 miles from even a small city.

The 424 institutions reported 183,402 inmates. Approximately 95 percent are male and 5 percent female. Approximately 87 percent are adults (18 and older) and 13 percent are juveniles. Twenty-three percent of the juveniles are in adult or mixed age institutions. Approximately 51 percent of all inmates are white, 41 percent are black, and 8 percent are other minorities (see Table 17). Data on the number of residents in small, medium, and large institutions (Table 13) and the response rates for different sized institutions (Table 12) were used to estimate the total correctional inmate population. Based on the estimating procedure, approximately 224,000 inmates are incarcerated in Federal and state correctional institutions. This estimate agrees very closely with figures reported by the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice (1967) and the National Prisoner Statistics for 1970 (Bureau of Prisons, 1972), considering that older juveniles are included in the present survey.

Table 18 presents data on the institutions with regard to length of stay of the inmates. This table shows the percent of responding institutions where the 50th percentile resident stayed differing lengths of time. The median length of stay was less than one year in 54 percent

TABLE 15. INSTITUTIONAL SECURITY LEVELS

Security Level	Percent of Responding Institutions	
Minimum	44.9	
Medium	20.6	
Maximum	12.3	
Combination of Above	22.2	
Total	100.0	
N=423		

TABLE 16. DISTANCE TO NEAREST CITY

Distance	Percent of Responding Institutions	
Within City	18.6	
Less than 10 miles	17.6	
10 and 25 miles	23.3	
Greater than 25 miles	40.5	
Total N=420	100.0	
	•	



TABLE 17. MINORITY BACKGROUND OF RESIDENTS

Minority Group	Average Percent of Residents
Black	40.7
Spanish American	4.6
American Indian or Eskimo	2.3
Oriental	0.3
Other	1.1
Total Minority	49.0
White	51.0
Total	100.0
N=418	*
	

TABLE 18. LENGTH OF STAY OF RESIDENTS

,	Percent of Responding Institutions		
Length of Stay	50th Percentile Length of Stay	90th Percentile Length of Stay	
Less than 1 year	53.5	34.1	
One to less than 3 years	32.9	26.0	
Three to less than 6 years	8.2	16.2	
Six to less than 10 years	3.4	13.4	
Ten years or more	2.0	10.3	
Total	100.0	100.0	
	N=404	N=396	

40

of the institutions. Data is also shown for the 90th percentile length of stay. The 90th percentile length of stay was less than one year in 34 percent of the institutions. The shortness of the stay of so many inmates in so many institutions has a negative impact on the institutions' ability to provide meaningful vocational preparation activities and services. In 10 percent of the institutions at least 10 percent of the residents stayed 10 years or more. The suitability of these inmates for vocational training is questionable.

Table 17 presents data on the minority background of the inmates, and Table 19 presents the minority composition of the institutions.

Table 20 presents data on the institutions with regard to inmate age. This table shows the percent of responding institutions where the 50th or 90th percentile resident's age fell in different age groups. In about 8 percent of the institutions the median age was less than 15 years. In 6 percent of the institutions at least 10 percent of the residents were older than 50. Table 21 presents the percent of responding institutions classified by predominant age of residents.

Table 22 shows the percent of responding institutions classified by sex of the residents.

Eight descriptive variables were used as control (or strati-fying) variables in subsequent analyses. That is, significant data was broken down by each of these variables, and tested statistically to determine if there was reliable variation as a function of any of the control variables. The eight control variables were:

- nature of the institution
- institutional security level
- distance to nearest city
- length of stay of 90th percentile resident
- minority composition
- age composition
- sex composition
- institution size

Table 23 summarizes the control variables, their categories, and the percent of responding institutions falling in each category. In forming the control variables, categories were combined in some instances in order to provide sufficient data in a category for analysis purposes.

One of the important issues in any vocational preparation activity is need. The wardens or superintendents estimate that approximately
70 percent of the inmates who enter their institutions need to acquire job



TABLE 19. MINORITY COMPOSITION OF INSTITUTIONS

Percent Nonwhite	Percent of Responding Institutions
Less than 10 percent	3.3
Ten to less than 25 percent	9.6
Twenty-five to less than 50 percent	31.8
Fifty to less than 75 percent	45.5
Seventy-five to less than 90 percent	7.7
Ninety percent or greater	2.1
Total	100.0
N=418	

TABLE 20. AGE OF RESIDENTS

	Percent of Responding Institutions		
Age (Years)	50th Percentile Age	90th Percentile Age	
Less than 15	7.70	2.00	
15 to 17	23.90	25.75	
18 to 20	11.20	8.00	
21 to 30	41.60	15.50	
31 to 40	14.50	18.75	
41 to 50	1.00	23.75	
Greater than 50	• 0.00	6.25	
Total	100.00	100.00	
	N=401	N=400	

TABLE 21. AGE COMPOSITION OF THE RESPONDING INSTITUTIONS

Age Composition	Percent of Responding Institution:
	Responding Institution:
Adult (more than 90 percent adult)	60.4
Juvenile (more than 90 percent juvenile)	31.1
Adult and Juvenile	8.5
Total N=424	100.0

TABLE 22. SEX COMPOSITION OF THE RESPONDING INSTITUTIONS

Sex Composition	Percent of Responding Institutions	
Male	77.6	
Female	12.0	
Both Male and Female	10.4	
Total N=424	100.0	
[4=4 2 4	S. Articles	

TABLE 23. SUMMARY OF CONTROL VARIABLES

V aria bl e	Category	Percent of Responding Institutions
	Prison	41.0
Nature of the Institution	Training school	26.0
	Other	33.0
	Minimum	44.9
	Medium	20.6
Institutional security level	Maximum	12.3
	Combination	22.2
1	Within city	18.6
	Less than 10 miles	17.6
Distance to nearest city	10 to 25 miles	23.3
•	Greater than 25 miles	40.5
	Less than l year	34.1
Length of stay of	1 to less than 3 years	26.0
90th percentile resident	3 to less than 6 years	16.2
	6 years or more	23.7
	40% or less nonwhite	31.6
	41% to 59% nonwhite	35.4
Minority Composition	60% or more nonwhite	33.0
	90% or more adult	60.4
	90% or more juvenile	31.1
Age Composition	Mixed age	8.5
	Male	77.6
Sex Composition	Female	12.0
och John John Land	Both	10.4
	Small	53.8
Institution Size	Medium	33.7
	Large	12.5

skills in order to obtain steady outside employment. Further, they estimate that only 34 percent of the entering inmates are likely to acquire sufficient job skills during their stay. Although these estimates are not based on hard data, they do reflect the judgments of experienced personnel in the correctional field. These estimates are not significantly related to any of the control variables, that is, the estimates of percent need and percent likely to acquire skills do not differ significantly in any of the categories of the control variables.

During the site visits, the wardens indicated that many inmates already have sufficient skills and that some inmates in their opinion are untrainable. Over half of the wardens interviewed said that lack of interest in acquiring skills was the reason many inmates would not acquire job skills during their stay in the institution. Institutional training programs are not designed for inmates who either have sufficient skills before entering the institution or who are not interested in acquiring them. Guidance and classification activities should work together to distinguish those who need and want training from those who do not. In about half of the institutions visited a classification committee (composed of treatment and security personnel from the institutional staff) made the final decisions about inmate assignments. Inmate preferences were taken into account in most institutions (96 percent).

Seventy-five percent of the institutions conduct vocational training programs within the institution. This percentage varies significantly on several control variables. A greater percentage of prisons (88 percent) conduct vocational training programs as compared with other types of institutions. (It is interesting to note that only 77 percent of institutions described as training schools conduct vocational training programs.) Vocational training programs are found less often (66 percent) in minimum security institutions than in other types of institutions. Distance to the nearest city has no effect. Institutions where 90 percent of the residents stay less than one year are less likely (only 60 percent) to conduct vocational training programs. There are no differences related to the minority composition or sex composition of the institutions. Surprisingly, vocational training programs are found less often (62 percent) in juvenile institutions than in adult or mixed age

institutions. The percent of institutions conducting vocational training programs is significantly related to size of the institution (Table 24).

Of those insitutions with vocational training programs, 58 percent budget institutional funds specifically for these programs, and 59 percent utilize one or more outside source of support (Table 25). Institutions without a specific vocational training budget do not use outside sources of support any more often than institutions with a budget. The most frequent outside funding sources are state departments of vocational education and Title I grants under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as shown in Table 26. In terms of total dollars, the greatest financial contribution is from the Manpower Development and Training Act (MTDA).

TABLE 24. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS CONDUCTING VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS BY SIZE OF THE INSTITUTION

•	Percent of	Responding Institutions	
institutional Size	Conduct Vocational Training Programs	Do Not Conduct Vocational Training Programs	Number of Institutions
Small	62.5	37.5	224
Medium	87.9	12.1	141
Large	96.2	3.8	53

TABLE 25. NUMBER OF OUTSIDE SOURCES OF SUPPORT FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

Number of Outside Sources	Percent of Responding Institutions
0	41.0
1	26.7
2	19.0
3	8.0
4	3.7
5	1,6
Total N=400	100.0



TABLE 26. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS AND AMOUNT OF OUTSIDE FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING BY SOURCE OF SUPPORT

Source of Funds	Percent of Institutions	Average Amount Spent During Last Fiscal Year
MDTA	7.5	\$ 84,254
State Department of Vocational Rehabili-tation	11.6	47,314
State Department of Education	8.5	35,839
State Department of Vocation	13.4	34,689
ESEA Title 1	12.3	43,275
LEAA	8.5	54,910
Local school district	2.8	30,135
Private corporations	0.7	31,743
Community colleges	4.2	31,170
Other	9.2	56,039
4	N=424-	

Of those institutions with vocational training programs, the percentage with specific vocational training budgets varied on one control variable, institutional size. Forty-four percent of small institutions, 66 percent of medium-sized institutions, and 76 percent of large institutions have specific training budgets.

The total vocational training expenditures for one fiscal year (including outside monies and excluding capital expenditures) averages \$134,000. Of this amount, approximately 79 percent is spent on salaries. The total institutional expenditures for one fiscal year (including outside monies and excluding capital expenditures) averages \$2.9 million; approximately \$5,000 per inmate. Those institutions with vocational training programs annually spend an average of only 6.5 percent of their total institutional expenditures on vocational training. Only 14% of the institutions spend ten percent or more of their total institutional expenditures on vocational training.

As might be expected, the total institutional expenditures per inmate vary on several of the control variables. Total expenditures per inmate are higher in training schools (\$6,400 per inmate) than in prisons and other types of institutions (\$4,800 per inmate). There are no reliable effects related to security level, distance to the nearest city, or sex composition of the institutions. Total expenditures per inamte are higher in institutions with short stays than in institutions with long stays (Table 27). Total expenditures per inmate are highest in predominantly white institutions (\$5,600 per inmate) and lowest in predominantly nonwhite institutions (\$4,700 per inmate). This difference may reflect other factors such as geographic differences which are correlated with minority composition of the institutions. Juvenile and mixed age institutions show higher expenditures per inmate (\$6,000 per inmate) than adult institutions (\$4,600 per inmate). Total expenditures per inmate are highest in medium-sized institutions (\$5,700 per inmate) and lowest in large institutions (\$4,200 per inmate).



TABLE 27. AVERAGE INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURE PER INMATE BY LENGTH OF STAY OF RESIDENTS

Length of Stay of 90th Percentile Resident	Average Expenditure Per Inmate	Number of Institutions
Less than 1 year	\$ 5,817	68
1 to less than 3 years	5,472	67
3 to less than 6 years	4,493	42
6 years or more	4,584	60
All institutions	\$ 5,173	237

Thirty-five percent of the institutions have prison industries. This percentage varies significantly on several control variables. Industries are operated in two-thirds of prisons, but very rarely in training schools (3 percent). Prison industries are found most often in maximum security institutions (69 percent) and least often in mimimum security institutions (11 percent). Distance to the nearest city has no effect. The longer the length of stay, the more often the institution has an industry program (Table 28). There are no differences related to the minority composition or sex composition of the institutions. Prison industries are found most often in adult institutions (51 percent) and least often in juvenile institutions (8 percent). The larger the institution, the more often it has an industry program (Table 29).

Eighty-three percent of the institutions assign inmates to work in activities related to the operation or maintenance of the institution. This percentage varies significantly on several control variables. Inmates in prisons are assigned to work in maintenance and operation (M & O) activities more often (96 percent) than in other types of institutions. Maximum security institutions assign inmates to M & O activities more often (92 percent), than in medium security institutions (86 percent) or minimum security institutions (77 percent). Distance to the nearest city has no effect. The longer the length of stay, the more often the institution assigns inmates to M & O activities (Table 30). There are no differences related to the minority composition or sex composition of the institutions. Work assignments in M & O activities are found most often in adult institutions (92 percent). The larger the institution, the more often the institution assigns inmates to M & O activities (Table 31).

Fifty-two percent of the institutions have a work release program. Those institutions with a work release program report an average of 40 inmates per institution participate in the program. The number of participants is only 4 percent of the total inmate population. The percentage of institutions with work release programs vary significantly on



TABLE 28. OCCURRENCE OF PRISON INDUSTRIES
BY LENGTH OF STAY OF RESIDENTS

	Percent of Responding Institutions		
Length of Stay of 90th Percentile Resident	With I nd ustries	Without Industries	Number of Institutions
Less than 1 year	6.2	93.9	132
1 to less than 3 years	28.2	71.8	103.
3 to less than 6 years	51.6	48.4	64
6 years or more	63.4	36.6	93

TABLE 29. OCCURRENCE OF PRISON INDUSTRIES BY SIZE OF THE INSTITUTIONS

Percent of Responding Institutions			
nstitutional Size	With Industries	Without I nd ustries	Number of Institutions
Small ·	107	89.3	2 25
Medium	54.2	45.8	142
Large	84.9	15.1	53

TABLE 30. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS ASSIGNING INMATES
TO WORK IN INSTITUTIONAL MAINTENANCE OR
OPERATION ACTIVITIES BY LENGTH OF STAY
OF RESIDENTS

	Percent of Responding Institutions			
Length of Stay of 90th Percentile Residents	Assign Inmates	Do Not Assign Inmates	Number of Institution	
Less than 1 year	67.2	32.8	125	
1 to less than 3 years	85.1	14.9	101	
3 to less than 6 years	95.2	4.8	62	
6 years or more	93.5	6.5	93	

TABLE 31. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS ASSIGNING INMATES
TO WORK IN INSTITUTIONAL MAINTENANCE OR
OPERATION ACTIVITIES BY SIZE OF THE
INSTITUTION

		Percent of Responding Institutions		
Institutional Size	Assign Inmates	Do Not		
Small	75.8	24.2	215	
Medjum	89.4	10.6	141	
Large	98.1	1.9	53	



several control variables. Work release programs are found less often in training schools (33 percent) than in other types of institutions. Work release is found most often in minimum (53 percent) and combined security institutions (67 percent) and least often in medium (42 percent) and maximum security institutions (44 percent). The occurrence of work release programs is significantly related to the distance to the nearest city. As distance increases, the percentage of institutions with work release programs decreases (Table 32). The longer the length of stay, the more often the institution has a work release program (Table 33.) Work release programs are found least often in short stay institutions. There are no differences related to the minority composition or sex composition of the institutions. Work release programs are found most often in adult institutions (62 percent) and least often in juvenile institutions (35 percent). The occurrence of work release programs is not related to the size of the institution.

TABLE 32. OCCURRENCE OF WORK RELEASE PROGRAMS
BY INSTITUTION'S DISTANCE TO THE
NEAREST CITY

	Percent of Institu		
Distance to Nearest City	With Work Release	Without Work Release	Number of Institutions
In City	63.4	36.6	71
Less than 10 miles	56.8	43.2	74
10 to 25 miles	53.2	46.8	94
Greater than 25 miles	44.5	55.5	164

TABLE 33 OCCURRENCE OF WORK RELEASE PROGRAMS
BY LENGTH OF STAY OF RESIDENTS

	Percent of RespondingInstitutions		
Length of Stay of 90th Percentile Resident	With Work Release	Without Work Release	Number of Institutions
Less than 1 year	29.6	70.4	125
l to less than 3 years	61.4	38.6	101
3 to less than 6 years	66.7	33.3	9 63
6 years or more	64.1	35.9	92

Formal Vocational Training Programs

The institutional data indicated that approximately 75 percent of the responding institutions had formal vocational training programs. Projecting this percentage to the entire population would indicate that approximately 422 institutions conduct vocational training programs. Two-hundred ninety-seven returns were received from directors of vocational training programs, representing approximately 70 percent of the population of institutions with training programs. Each director provided information describing all or most of the vocational training programs under his supervision, whereas specific information on each program was generally provided by the instructors of the individual programs. (In some institutions the directors filled out all the questionnaires.)

The institutions reported a total of 1,940 programs, and specific information was obtained on 1724 programs or 89 percent. All of these programs were conducted within the institutions. Only 17% of the institutions indicated that inmates were enrolled in formal vocational training programs outside the institutions at local vocational or technical schools. These external programs represented less than 4% of the number of programs offered, and less than 3% of total vocational training enrollment.

Based on the enrollment in different sized institutions, it is estimated that approximately 46,000 inmates were receiving formal vocational training within the institutions, and approximately 1,200 inmates were receiving formal training in outside schools. It is estimated that approximately 19,000 inmates were on waiting lists for these programs. The significance of the waiting list varies from institution to institution. In some institutions, inmates are placed on the list whenever they request it, without regard to institutional restrictions, or program entrance requirements. In other institutions, inmates are placed on the waiting list only after they have met all institutional and program requirements.

Approximately 32 percent of the resident population of the reporting institutions were enrolled in formal vocational training programs, while approximately 15 percent of the resident population were on a waiting list. The percentage of inmates enrolled varied significantly on several control



variables. A higher percentage of inmates in training schools were enrolled in training programs (50 percent) than inmates in prisons (19 percent) or other types of institutions (29 percent). Table 34 indicates that as the length of stay of the residents increased, the percentage enrolled decreased. Forty-eight percent of the inmates in short-stay institutions were enrolled in formal vocational training programs, compared to only 15 percent of the inmates in long-stay institutions. The age composition of the institution was also a significant control variable. Only 22 percent of the inmates in adult institutions were enrolled in training programs, compared to 48 percent in juvenile institutions, and 32 percent in mixed institutions. Inmates in small institutions were more frequently enrolled (38 percent) than were inmates in medium-sized institutions (28 percent) or large institutions (only 9 percent). Projecting the enrollment figures to the total institutional population, it is estimated that approximately 21 percent of all inmates are enrolled in vocational training programs and 9 percent are on waiting lists.

The directors of vocational training estimated that about 50 percent of the inmates were unable to participate in vocational training programs for a variety of reasons. Table 35 presents the percent of institutions reporting each of the reasons. The most frequently stated reasons, which also involved the highest percentages of inmates, were lack of aptitude or interest and inability to meet minimum academic requirements.

The institutions offer an average of 5.5 programs per institution. This number varied appreciably on only one control variable, institution size. Large institutions offer approximately 9 programs per institution, whereas modium-sized institutions offer 7 programs per institution, and small institutions offer only 4 programs per institution. The number of programs per institution is severely limited and generally inadequate to meet inmate vocational needs.

Approximately 4,000 instructors were employed in the institutions' vocational training programs, or approximately one instructor for every 12 enrollees. Approximately 82 percent of the instructors were White, 12 percent Black, and 6 percent represented other minorities. Approximately 3 percent were inmates, and only 2 percent were provided by outside organizations to teach at the institution. The percentage of White instructors varied significantly with the racial composition of the institution, as shown in Table 36.



TABLE 34. PERCENT OF INMATES ENROLLED IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS BY LENGTH OF STAY

Length of Stay of 90th Percentile Inmate	Number of Institutions	Percent of Inmates Enrolled	
Less than 1 year	45	47.9	
l to less than 3 years	52	33.1	
3 to less than 6 years	22	23.5	
6 years or more	26	14.6	

TABLE 35. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS REPORTING VARIOUS REASONS THAT INMATES ARE UNABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

Reasons for Being Unable to Participate	Percent of Institutions Reporting
Inability to meet minimum academic requirements	72.9
Lack of program openings	47.9
Institutional security rules or previous offenses	39.3
Length of stay too short	67.9
Other priority assignments in the institution	34.3
Lack of aptitude or interest	77.1
Other	17.9
N=140	

TABLE 36. PERCENT OF WHITE INSTRUCTORS
BY RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THE
INSTITUTIONS

Racial Composition (Percent White Inmates)	Number of Institutions	Percent White Instructors
40 percent of less	84	75.8
41 percent to 59 percent	99	86.3
60 percent or more	80	92.5

The usual starting salary for a full-time vocational training instructor averaged \$9,600 per year. The salary actually being paid to a full-time instructor averaged \$11,000 per year. These figures did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables.

A complete vocational training department should provide more than training programs and instructors. Programs or provisions for special groups, and a full complement of vocational testing, guidance, counseling, job placement, and follow-up services should be available. Table 37 presents the percent of institutions reporting various special vocational training programs. Table 38 presents the percent of institutions reporting provisions available for special language or cultural groups. Table 39 presents the percent of institutions using different types of tests for selection. Table 40 presents the percent of institutions providing different types of guidance and counseling services and Table 41 presents the percent of institutions providing different job placement services. Table 42 presents the source of job placement services.

During the site visits, it was determined that most of the achievement and aptitude testing were part of the processing procedures for inmates entering the institution. Individual vocational counseling and job placement was often provided on an informal basis by social service and education personnel. Education personnel in particular were viewed as important resources by inmates seeking these services. The directors of vocational training and their staffs attempted to place trainees in jobs primarily through personal contacts with outside employers. These efforts are often voluntary and lack the impact that planned systematic programs would have.

Fifty-seven percent of the institutions have a person responsible for coordinating vocational guidance and counseling services, and 44 percent have a person responsible for coordinating job placement services. Forty percent of the institutions do not have a person responsible for either service.

Fifty-six percent of the institutions have no organized program for following up released or paroled inmates who have had vocational training to find out whether the training was useful in getting and keeping a job. Twenty-four percent of the institutions have a program for



TABLE 37. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS OFFERING SPECIAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

Special Training Area	Percent
Mentally retarded	18.8
Auditorially handicapped	4.8
Visually handicapped	5.5
Orthopedically handicapped	4.8
Health impairment	7.4
Inmates over 40	17.6
Other special problems	13.2
None	57.7
N=272	:

TABLE 38. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS THAT MAKE PROVISIONS FOR SPECIAL GROUPS

Provision for Special Language or Cultural Group	Percent	
Bilingual training materials	16.2	
Bilingual instructors	14.3	
Special English instruction	30.2	
Training staff in minority problems	18.5	
Other special provisions	7.6	•
None	56.6	
N=265		

TABLE 39. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS
USING DIFFERENT TESTS FOR SELECTION
FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

Tests used	Percent
Aptitude tests	67.6
Personality tests	24.7
Interest tests	43.9
Achievement tests	67.2
Intelligence tests	53.7
None	15.0
N=287	

TABLE 40. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS PROVIDING DIFFERENT GUIDANCE AND COUNSELING SERVICES

Type of guidance and counseling service	Percent	
Aptitude testing	60.7	
Interest testing	45.6	
Visits by outside business representatives	29.5	
Individual vocational counseling	81.4	
Group vocational counseling	45.3	
Assistance with current training assignments	75.4	. .
None	4.9	
N=285		

TABLE 41. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS PROVIDING DIFFERENT JOB PLACEMENT SERVICES

Type of Job Placement Service	Percent	
File of position openings	20.3	
Literature on job opportunities	55.2	
Referral to employers	55.9	
Auxilliary job placement services	46.2	
Course in job-hunting skills	56.2	
Registration with state or local employment offices	24.1	
Other services	22.1	
None	7.9	
N=290		

TABLE 42. PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS USING DIFFERENT SOURCES OF JOB PLACEMENT SERVICES

Source of Job Placement Service	Percent
Vocational rehabilitation agency	52.5
State or local employment office	30.8
Instructors in institution	56.3
Social workers in institution	46.1
Parole officers	43.7
Other	26.8
None	7.5
N=295	

some of the vocational training programs, and only 20 percent have a follow-up program for all of the institutions vocational training programs.

It has been argued that an inmate should complete his vocational training shortly before he is released, so that the skills and knowledges he has acquired will be fresh and timely when he is released. Twenty-two percent of the institutions report that vocational training is scheduled so as to be completed before parole date eligibility. This is not easy to arrange, because in many instances, an inmate does not have a fixed eligibility date. A much larger percentage (57 percent) begin training as soon as possible after the inmate enters the institution. Fifty-nine percent of the institutions report that inmates with institutional or outside jobs can participate in vocational training programs at the same time.

Table 43 shows the percent of institutions estimating various lengths of stay after training. Forty-five percent of the institutions estimate that a trainee, on the average, remains in the institution less than 3 months after completing training. This percentage varies significantly on several control variables. Sixty-eight percent of training schools report that the average trainee stays less than 3 months, as compared to 23 percent of prisons. As would be expected, 75 percent of short stay institutions report that the average trainee stays less than 3 months, as compared to 15 percent of institutions where the 90th percentile inmate stays 3 years or more. Seventy-two percent of juvenile institutions report that the average trainee stays less than 3 months, as compared to 27 percent of adult institutions.

After training is completed or terminated, approximately 54 percent of the trainees are released or paroled. Sixteen percent are assigned to an activity related to their training for the remainder of their stay in the institution. Thirty percent of the trainees are assigned to unrelated activities or are returned to the general institutional population for the remainder of their stay. During the site visits vocational training instructors were asked why more trainees were not assigned to work activities in the institution related to their training. In those



TABLE 43. AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY AFTER TRAINING

Average Length of Stay	
After Training	Percent of Institutions
Less than 3 months	45.1
3 to less than 6 months	25.7
6 to less than 12 months	21.2
12 months or more	8.0
Total	100.0
N=175	

instances where half or fewer of the inmates in a program were assigned to related institutional jobs, the institution either had no jobs to match the skills developed in training or had too few job openings to be filled.

Community contact is an important factor in keeping training programs relevant and in obtaining jobs for trainees. Sixty-six percent of the institutions do not have any advisory committees for any of their vocational training programs. Only 16 percent have a citizen's advisory committee for all programs. In large institutions, a significantly greater percent of institutions (33 percent) have committees for all programs. Regular tours by outside business personnel are reported by only 33 percent of the institutions, and only 30 percent of the institutions allow inmates to make regularly scheduled field trips outside the institution to local businesses or industries. Forty-two percent of the institutions with training programs have none of the three types of community contact, and only 7 percent have all three types of contact. The practice of bringing outside business personnel into the institution and the practice of allowing inmates to make outside trips apparently are characteristics of different types of institutions. Maximum security institutions and large institutions naturally bring in outside personnel whereas small institutions, minimum security institutions, short stay institutions, and juvenile institutions report more field trips.

One of the important aspects of the survey concerned plans for expansion or curtailment of vocational training programs. Sixty-three percent of the institutions with training program have not had to curtail any programs in the last year (1973). Eighteen percent have had to curtail programs due to lack of funds, and 13 percent have had to curtail programs becasue of lack of qualified staff.

A few institutions have had to curtail programs due to equipment being too expensive (4 percent), poor job markets for offenders (5 percent), competition from other institutional activities (5 percent), and lack of inmate interest (9 percent). Surprisingly, almost no institutions reported having to curtail training programs due to poor business attitudes towards hiring ex-offenders. Funding appears to be the major factor affecting the expansion or curtailment of vocational training programs at this time.



Sixty percent of the institutions see a need for greater variety in program offerings, and 24 percent want more openings in existing programs. Fifty-two percent see a need for more outside training opportunities, and 58 percent want new programs to take advantage of new job markets. Only 3 percent of the institutions claim that no changes are needed. In general, the directors of vocational training seem to be aware of the limited nature of their program offerings.

During the site visits, the most frequently mentioned needed change was a desire for more programs related to the current job market (45 percent). Many directors expressed a concern over the rapidly changing job market and the difficulty in establishing new training programs with the limited budgets they had. Many directors mentioned that they had to run their existing programs with a less than adequate budget

Although 60 percent of the institutions see a need for greater variety of programs, only 49 percent of the institutions are planning to add new vocational training programs within the year. This latter percentage did not vary significantly on any of the control variables.

training programs, prison industries, and maintenance and operations activities as indicated by directors of these activities. Only half of the vocational training directors believe that their most important goal is to develop specific job skills. Thirty-five percent of prison industry directors and 43 percent of maintenance and operations directors regard developing job skills as their most important goal. There appears to be only a weak commitment to what should be the primary goal of any training program—to develop job skills for employment.

Specific information was obtained on 1,724 training programs.

One hundred forty-two different programs were described, the most frequent being auto mechanics, welding, food preparation, and carpentry. These four programs represent 29 percent of the 1,724 training programs described. Previous surveys (Torrence, 1966; Neff, 1970) also found these to be among the most frequently offered programs.

The vast majority of programs were related to skilled or semi-skilled blue collar occupations. Subject matter was usually directly



TABLE 44. PERCENT OF FIRST CHOICES AMONG ACTIVITY GOALS

/	Activity		
Goal Statement	Vocational Training	Prison Industries	Institutional Maintenance and Operations
Develop specific job skills for employment on release	50.2%	35.2%	43.4%
Develop inmates' work habits	19.2%	43.8%	31.2%
Provide a means of evaluating inmate for parole	2.2%	3.2%	4.3%
Provide inmates with constructive activities	5.5%	9.3%	15.4%
	N=277	N=129	N=446

relevant to the job and was taught using traditional vocational training techniques--lecture, demonstration, and manual practice.

The training programs themselves have limited rehabilitation potential. The majority are for low status occupations which will pay low wages, particularly for the entry-level employee. They do not match inmate vocational interests as expressed in the inmate interviews. The programs, with only a few exceptions, were traditional, and were not based on any consideration of local or regional job markets. The sameness of the programs from institution to institution, and the absence of much change from surveys taken eight years earlier is disappointing.

the other programs, the trainee can remain until he is released or paroled (30%), until specific performance standards are met (25%), or until he loses interest (17%). The amount of classroom or related instruction usually provided (whether fixed amount or not) averages 9 hours per week for 25 weeks. The amount of shop or laboratory instruction averages 20 hours per week for 29 weeks. The total hours of training usually provided averages 840 hours. The length of training is significantly longer in prisons (1,000 hours), maximum security institutions (1,030 hours), long-stay institutions (1,040 hours), adult institutions (940 hours), and large institutions (1,080 hours).

Seventy-six percent of the programs do not provide remuneration to the trainees. The remaining programs pay from \$0.01 to \$3.00 per hour, with a mean of \$0.34 per hour. In a correctional institution, even small amounts of pay can provide important motivation for inmates to participate in training programs.

Approximately 17 trainees per program were enrolled at the time of the survey. The maximum number of trainees that could be enrolled at a given time averages 21 per program. The average class size is about the same for classroom and shop training; 12 trainees.

Approximately 53 percent of the trainees are white, which is very close to the percentage of all inmates who are white (51 percent). The approximate mean age of trainees is 23 years, which is very close to the mean age of all inmates (24 years).



One of the major concerns in evaluating the effectiveness of formal training programs involves entrance requirements which act as barriers to general enrollement. Table 45 presents the percent of programs with various entrance requirements and Table 46 presents the percent of programs with multiple entrance requirements. The mean number of entrance requirements is low and did not vary appreciable on any of the control variables. Table 47 presents the average minimum levels required for entry into the programs. Approximately 78 percent of the programs have one or more academic requirements.

The program instructors ranked the advantages of being in a vocational training program form the inmate's point-of-view. Table 48 presents the average rank assigned to each potential advantage. Learning a job skill is ranked first most often and has the lowest (most important) average rank.

Adequate facilities and equipment are, of course, a necessary part of any training program. Ninety-five percent of the programs have their own shop or laboratory area, but 45 percent report that their facilities are inadequate in some respects. Seventy-eight percent of the programs feel that they have all the necessary tools, equipment and supplies needed. Twenty-one percent report that some major items of equipment are not in operating condition, and 33 percent report that some equipment is outdated. Only 32 percent of the programs have their own facility which is adequate and have all the necessary tools and equipment which are modern and operable. This percentage did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables.

During the site visits it was observed that vocational instructors accepted less than desirable working conditions as part of their job and generally did not complain about the facilities and equipment for their programs. The deficits, such as inadequate facilities and outdated equipment, were apparently extensive and were generally due to lack of financial support.

During their stay in an institution, many inmates participating in training programs are also required to perform work assignments in the institution. These work assignments, which may occur either during the training program or upon its completion, can frequently enable a trainee



TABLE 45. PERCENT OF TRAINING PROGRAMS WITH VARIOUS ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS

Entrance Requirement	Percent of Programs
None	21.8
Must be within a given age range	18.3
Never incarcerated for certain offe n ses	5.9
Must have minimum custody level	18.9
Must pass test(s)	22.3
Must have sufficient time remaining to complete program	47.3

TABLE 46. NUMBER OF ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

Number of Entrance Requirements	Perce nt of Programs	
0	21.8	
1	45.1	
2	22.0	
3	7.8	
4 or more	3.3	
Total	100.0	

TABLE 47. REQUIREMENTS FOR ENTRY INTO TRAINING PROGRAMS

Requir e ment	Percent of Programs	Minimum Average Level Required
Dandina Lauri	(1.0	7.1
Reading Level	41.0	7th grade
Arithmetic Level	36.9	7th grade
School Grade	29.5	8th grade
I.Q. Score	15.3	90 points
и=910 .		~

TABLE 48. ADVANTAGES OF ASSIGNMENT TO A VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAM

Advantage	Average Rank (1= most important)	Percent of First Choices
Consideration for early		
parole	3.1	/ 18.6
Pay	5.0	/\ 6.9
Learning a job skill	1.6	70.6
De s irable work a ss ignment	3.4	13.0
Desirable housing area	5.8	0.8
Opportunity for work release	3.4	7.9
Increased freedom or movement	4.2	8.5
Other	2.7	9.8
N=857		

to practice or further develop the job skills taught in the program.

Most or all of the trainees are assigned to related work in only 45 percent of the programs. This percentage did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables.

Sixty percent of the programs utilize written daily lesson plans. This percentage did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables. Fifty-seven percent of the programs have been reviewed and accredited by an outside agency. This percentage did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables. Only 21 percent offer registered apprenticeship training, and 38 percent report that a trainee can apply the hours he has completed to an apprenticeship program outside the institution. Eighteen percent of the programs offer approved apprenticeship training which is transferable to outside apprentice programs upon release. The percentage of programs offering approved apprenticeship training varied significantly on several control variables. More training programs in prisons offer approved apprenticeship training as compared to training schools (28 percent versus 14 percent). As the length of stay increases, so does the percentage of programs offering approved apprenticeship training. Twenty-five percent of training programs in adult institutions offer apprenticeship training as compared to 14 percent of training programs in juvenile institutions.

As noted earlier, about half the programs have no fixed length. Of those programs with a fixed length, only 61 percent of those enrolled in the programs completed all phases of the training. Although much skill and knowledge can be acquired without completing all phases of a program, the percent completion must be considered low and discouraging. The percent completion could be improved if more attention were given to selection of trainees, and scheduling of enrollment relative to probable release date.

The vocational training instructors were asked to estimate the number of trainees who were placed in jobs related to their training upon release. This was a difficult question for them to answer, as evidenced by the large number who did not respond (77 percent). This finding further supports the lack of follow-up information available to the vocational training departments described earlier. Based on the estimates provided by the vocational training instructors, approximately 56 percent of the



trainees obtain jobs related to their training. This percentage did not vary significantly on any of the control variables.

Appraisal of trainee progress and recognition for successful completion are important motivational influences upon trainees. Table 49 presents the percent of programs using various methods of appraisal of trainee progress. Nearly all instructors report using at least one kind of appraisal, and 51 percent report using six or more appraisal methods.

Table 50 presents the percent of programs providing various types of recognition for successful completion of the program. Approximately 4 percent report no type of recognition given.

There are approximately 1.2 instructors per program. Approximately 24 percent of the programs use teacher aides or helpers to assist the instructors. Those programs using aides report an average of 2.0 aides per program.

Sixteen percent of the instructors are not certified. Sixty percent are certified by the state board of education. Twenty percent are certified by a state licensing board. Eight percent are certified by a union, and 13 percent report other miscellaneous certification. The percentages do not add to 100 due to multiple responses. These percentages did not vary appreciably on any of the control variables. The instructors report an average of 20 years of experience. Ninety-one percent of the instructors have teaching experience at the institution, with a mean of 5 years of experience. Eighty-seven percent of the instructors also have work experience in industry, with a mean of 15 years of experience. These data indicate a high level of experience for vocational training instructors in correctional institutions. The years of experience varied appreciably on only one control variable. The average years of experience in male institutions was 22 years compared to 15 years in female institutions.



TABLE 49. PERCENT OF TRAINING PROGRAMS USING VARIOUS METHODS OF APPRAISAL OF TRAINEE PROGRESS

Method	Percent of	Programs
Grade at end of program	61.8	
Grades periodically throughout program	76.3	
Diagnostic ratings of skill areas	39.9	
Written narrative progress reports	51.2	
Observation of performance	93.6	
Paper and pencil achievement tests	59.8	
Non-paper and pencil achievement tests	54.3	
Review sessions	48.2	-
Grades on projects	59.5	
Other	9.7	
None	0.5	

TABLE 50. PERCENT OF PROGRAMS PROVIDING VARIOUS TYPES
OF RECOGNITION FOR SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM COMPLETION

Type of Recognition	Percent of Programs
Certificate given by outside organization	30.6
Certificate given by institution	68.8
Apprenticeship credit or certificate	12.0
High school credit	28.9
Credit toward post high school degree	10.7
Opportunity to take test for license or certificate	13.6
Other	8.9
None	3.6
N=1714	

Prison Industries

The institutional data indicated that approximately 35 percent of the responding institutions had prison industries. Projecting this percentage to the entire population would indicate that approximately 195 institutions assign inmates to work in one or more prison industries at the institutions. One hundred thirty-two returns were received from directors of prison industries, representing approximately 68 percent of the population of institutions with industries. Each director provided specific information on the industries at this institution. Information was provided on an average of 3.1 industries per institution.

At the time of the survey, 16,807 inmates were reported working in various prison industries. Projecting this number to the total population of institutions with industries would indicate that approximately 25,000 inmates are working in prison industries. This figure represents about one out of every nine persons incarcerated in Federal and state correctional institutions. Further, as many or more new workers are assigned to prison industries each year. These data indicate the tremendous potential that prison industries have for vocational preparation, particularly for the adult felon.

working in the industries to make trips outside the institution to local business or industrial sites. Only 32 percent have regular tours by outside business or industry persons. Twelve percent have citizen occupational advisory committees for their prison industries. The occurrence of community contact does not vary significantly with any of the control variables. These results indicate very little contact between prison industries and the outside business and industrial community. As a consequence, it is difficult for many prison industries to follow modern industrial practices or to place inmates in comparable outside jobs when they are released.

Prison industries have often been criticized because assigned inmates become "locked" into an industry, and are unable to participate in



other vocational training programs in the institution. The present study does not show this to be a wide-spread practice. Seventy-six percent of the institutions with induscries allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned to prison industries. This percentage does not vary reliably with any of the control variables.

Specific information was obtained on 407 industries. Seventynine different industries were described, the most frequent being furniture
manufacturing and repair, garment manufacturing, printing, and tag and
sign manufacturing. These four industries represent 31 percent of the
407 industries reported.

Eighty-four percent of the industries report that most immates have the opportunity to learn the full range of specific job skills needed for successful performance on a job upon release or parole. Ninety-five percent report that inmates assigned to prison industries are given the opportunity to learn other jobs in the industry in addition to their regular job assignment. These percentages do not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Job rotation within an industry is, of course, critical in fulfilling these expectations. The industries report that inmates have an average of approximately 5.3 different job assignments in a two-year period. On average, this represents a different assignment every 4.5 months, which appears sufficient to provide for the acquisition of a variety of specific job skills.

The issue of pay for inmates in prison industries has recently received much attention (National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, 1973). Forty-one percent of the industries do not pay inmates for their work. The other industries pay from \$0.01 to \$1.50 per hour, with a mean of \$0.22 per hour. The directors of prison industries ranked the advantages of being assigned to prison industries from the inmate's point-of-view. Table 51 presents the average rank assigned to each potential advantage. Pay is ranked first most often and has the lowest (most important) average rank. Learning a job skill has the second lowest average rank.

Specific job skills are usually acquired through on-the-job training (OJT) and off-the-job training. On-the-job training is

TABLE 51. ADVANTAGES OF ASSIGNMENT TO A PRISON INDUSTRY

Average Rank (l= most important)	Percent of First Choice
3.9	5.9
2.4	38.2
2.8	29.6
3.1	11.8
4.9	0.5
3.9	11.3
5.5	1.6
	(1= most important) 3.9 2.4 2.8 3.1 4.9

C

defined as training that takes place in a work setting during the work day. Off-the-job training is defined as related training or instruction that takes place in a classroom or in the shop which does <u>not</u> occur during the regular productive process.

Meaningful OJT is provided by a designated trainer, rather than relying on fellow workers. Eighty-four percent of the industries have a designated person or persons responsible for providing OJT. This percentage loss not vary appreciably with any of the control variables. Non-inmate supervisors provide OJT in 77 percent of the industries. Experienced inmates are used in 35 percent of industries, and outside trainers are used in 7 percent of the industries. Experienced inmates and outside trainers are often used in conjunction with non-inmate supervisors, thus, the percentages total to more than 100 percent. The number of trainers does not vary reliably with any of the control variables.

Only 23 percent of the industries have a written training plan for OJT. This plan usually consists of the sequence of tasks to be taught. This percentage does not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Table 52 indicates the percent of industries reporting different practices if an inmate worker needs additional training.

Table 53 presents the percent of industries using different methods of appraisal of OJT progress. Ninety-eight percent of the industries report using one or more methods of appraisal of inmates' progress. Table 54 presents the percent of industries reporting different numbers of methods.

Off-the-job training in prison industries is much rarer than OJT. Only 21 percent of the industries provide off-the-job training to newly assigned inmates. This percentage does not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Table 55 indicates who is responsible for providing this training. The percentages do not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Off-the-job training is provided to 1026 trainees in prison industries, about 6 percent of the total inmates working in prison industries.

Fifty-two percent of the industries with off-the-job training have a fixed amount of training time scheduled. For the others, the



TABLE 52 PERCENT OF INDUSTRIES REPORTING VARIOUS PRACTICES IF ADDITIONAL TRAINING IS NEEDED

Practice	Percent of Industries
As s ignment to an ea s ier job	9.3
Removal from productive work to provide training	44.1
Allow to work below standards while developing skills	46.6
Total ,	100.0

TABLE 53. PERCENT OF INDUSTRIES USING VARIOUS METHODS OF APPRAISING OJT PROGRESS

Percent of Industries
87.1
28.5
54.7
75.0
33.9
17.6

IABLE 54. NUMBER OF DIFFERENT METHODS USED IN APPRAISING OUT PROGRESS IN PRISON INDUSTRIES

Number of Methods Used	Percent of Institutions
0	2.0
1	12.6
2	16.3
3	37.1
4	18.6
5	9.4
6	4.0
Total N=404	100.0

TABLE 55 . ORGANIZATION PROVIDING OFF-THE-JO3 TRAINING FOR PRISON INDUSTRIES

Organization	Percent of Industries
Vocational training Department	34.0
Prison Industry Department	22.0
Other (includes outside organizations and combinations of organizations)	44.0
Total N=50	100.0

amount of off-the-job training depends upon trainee progress. The amount of classroom or related instruction usually provided (whether fixed amount of not) averages 13 hours per week for 28 weeks. The amount off-the-job shop training averages 23 hours per week for 21 weeks. Most of the industries with off-the-job training (97 percent) provide some classroom or related instruction, and 60 percent provide additional snop training. The weighted averaged total hours of off-the-job training is approximately 500, or the equivalent of 3 months full-time training, when provided. Approximately one out of every 16 inmates currently involved in prison industries is receiving off-the-job training.

Maintenance and Operations

The institutional data indicated that approximately 83 percent of the responding institutions assigned inmates to work activities involving institutional operation or maintenance. Projecting this percentage to the entire population would indicate that approximately 467 institutions assign inmates to maintenance or operation activities. Three hundred and three institutions returned one or more questionnaires on institutional maintenance and operations activities, representing approximately 65 percent of the population of institutions with inmate maintenance or operation activities. An average of 1.5 questionnaires were received per institution. Sixty-three percent of the questionnaires received from directors of maintenance and operations activities describe general institutional maintenance activities. Twenty-seven percent describe food service activities, 9 percent hospital operations, and 1 percent farm activities. Each director was requested to provide specific information on up to three activities under his supervision involving the most inmates. Information was provided on an average of 2.2 activities per director.

At the time of the survey, 69,910 inmates were reported working in various institutional maintenance or operations jobs. Projecting this number to the total population of institutions with inmate work assignments would indicate that approximately 108,000 inmates are working in jobs related to institutional maintenance or operations. This figure represents about 48 percent of the persons incarcerated in Federal and state correctional institutions. A total of 46,438 new inmates were assigned during the year. These data indicate that planned, organized vocational training experiences should be included in these activities.

Almost none of the institutions with immate maintenance and operations activities allow inmates with work assignments to make outside trips to local businesses or industries. Only 24 percent have regular tours by outside business or industry persons. Eight percent have citizen occupational advisory committees for their work activities. These percentages do not vary reliably with any of the control variables.



These results indicate very little contact with the outside business and industrial community. As was the case with prison industries, it is difficult for many of the work activities to maintain modern practices or to place inmates in comparable outside jobs when they are released.

Seventy percent of the institutions with inmate maintenance and operations activities allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned to these activities. This percentage does not vary appreciably with any of the control variables. Based on these results, a significant number of inmates are prevented from receiving training because of their work assignments.

Specific information was obtained on 1006 maintenance or operations activities. Sixty-nine different activities were described, the most frequent being general maintenance, food service, and food preparation activities. These three activities account for 39 percent of the activities reported.

Inmates have the opportunity to learn the full range of specific job skills needed for successful performance on a job upon release in only 57 percent of the activities. Seventy-nine percent report that inmates are given the opportunity to learn other skills associated with the activity. This percentage does not vary appreciably with any of the control variables. Job rotation is necessary in actualizing these opportunities. Inmates have an average of approximately 7.8 different job assignments over a two-year period. This represents an average of a different job assignment every 3 months.

Pay for the performance of work related to the maintenance or operation of the institution is generally regarded as important in imparting dignity and significance to the work performed. Fifty percent of the activities do not pay inmates for their work. The remaining activities pay from \$0.01 to \$2.20 per hour, with a mean of \$0.25 per hour. The directors or supervisors of the activities ranked the advantages of being assigned to maintenance and operations activities from the inmate's point-of-view. Table 56 presents the average rank assigned to each potential advantage. Learning a job skill and desirability of the work assignment are ranked first most often and have the lowest (most important) average ranks.

TABLE 56. ADVANTAGES OF ASSIGNMENT TO A MAINTENANCE OR OPERATIONS ACTIVITY

Advantage	Average Rank (1= most important)	Percent of First Choices
Consideration for early parole	3.6	13.9
Pay	3.9	18.7
Learning a job skill	2.8	33.9
Desirable work assignment	2.7	25.8
Desirable housing area	4.8	2.4
Increased freedom of movement	3.5	12.1
Other	4.7	11.8
N=599		

Significant training value can occur from work assignments if the trainee is provided meaningful on-the-job and off-the-job training. One of the characteristics of meaningful on-the-job training (OJT) is that is is provided by formally assigned trainers, rather than by fellow workers.

Seventy percent of the activities report a designated person or persons responsible for providing OJT. This percentage does not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Non-inmate supervisors provide OJT in 58 percent of the activities. Experienced inmates are used in 10 percent of the activities, and outside trainers are used in 11 percent of the activities.

Only 21 percent of the activities have a written training plan for OJT. This percentage varys with one of the control varibles; sex composition of the institution. Written training plans are more common in female institutions (29 percent) and institutions with both male and female residents (36 percent), than in male institutions (18 percent). If an inmate worker needs additional training, he is usually continued in the job (60 percent of the activities). Twenty-nine percent of the activities remove him from work temporarily, and 11 percent assign the inmate to an easier job.

Table 57 presents the percent of activities using different methods of appraisal of OJT progress. Ninety-six percent of the activities report using one or more methods of appraisal. Table 58 presents the percent of activities reporting different numbers of methods.

Off-the-job training in work activities is much rarer than OJT. Only 20 percent of the activities provide off-the-job training to newly assigned inmates. This percentage does not vary appreciably with any of the control variables. Table 59 indicates who is responsible for providing this training. The percentages do not vary reliably with any of the control variables. Off-the-job training is provided to 2,667 trainees in maintenance and operations activities, about 4 percent of the total inmates working in various institutional maintenance or operations jobs.

Apprenticeship training is a formal system of training and progress used in many skilled trades. It involves a multi-year



TABLE 57. PERCENT OF MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION ACTIVITIES USING VARIOUS METHODS OF APPRAISING OJT PROGRESS

Method of Appraisal	Percent of Activities
Observation of performance	77.5
Individual skill ratings	28,4
Written progress reports	51.2
Evaluation of work adjustment	66.3
Formal review sessions	26.6
Other methods	9.3
None	4.4
N=976	

TABLE 58. NUMBER OF DIFFERENT METHODS USED IN APPRAISING OUT PROGRESS IN MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS ACTIVITIES.

•	Number of Methods Used	Per c ent of Activities
	0	4.4
	1	20.0
	2	26.0
	3	26.7
,	4	10.8
	. 5	9.2
•	6	2.9
	Total N=976	- 100.0

TABLE 59. ORGANIZATION PROVIDING OFF-THE-JOB TRAINING FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS ACTIVITIES

Organization	Percent of Activities
Vocational Training Department	46.6
Maintenance or Service Personnel	36.5
Other (includes outside organizations and combinations of organizations)	16.9
Total	100.0
N=148	<u> </u>

program of OJT and related off-the-job training. In order to be recognized by craft unions outside the institution, an apprenticeship program must be approved and registered with the appropriate state or Federal agency. Only 14 percent of the activities offer registered apprenticeship training. This percentage does not vary appreciably on any of the control variables, except, as would be expected, in institutions where 90 percent or more of the residents stay less than 1 year. In those institutions only 6 percent of the activities involve apprenticeship training. Since apprenticeship programs extend over several years, it is important that an apprentice traine, be able to apply the hours he has completed to an apprenticeship program outside the institution. This is possible in 20 percent of all activities, and thus is more prevelant than complete apprenticeship training programs. This percentage does not vary appreciably with any of the control variables.

Forty-four percent of the activities with off-the-job training have a fixed amount of training time scheduled. The others base the amount of off-the-job training on trainee progress. The amount of classroom or related instruction usually provided averages 12 hours per week for 19 weeks. The amount of off-the-job shop training averages 20 hours per week for 21 weeks. Most of the activities with off-the-job training (93 percent) provide some classroom or related instruction, and 58 percent provide additional shop training. The weighted average total hours of off-the-job training is approximately 430, or the equivalent of 2.5 months full-time training, when provided. Approximately one out of every 26 inmates currently involved in maintenance or operations activities is receiving off-the-job training.

Evaluation

Evaluation data were obtained during site visits to 77 institutions. One institution visited had no formal vocational training programs, so the evaluation was based on information obtained from the remaining 76 institutions.

Table 60 presents the percent of institutions meeting each of the ten criteria previously discussed. Eight of the criteria were not met by a majority of institutions visited. Although the criterion concerning inmate preferences was met by 96 percent of the institutions, it should be pointed out that inmates were generally limited to choices among programs with openings. The criterion concerning job placement services was met by only 14 percent of the institutions. In many correctional systems this function is left to an outside agency, such as a state employment office. When this is done, much useful feedback related to job demand, necessary skills, and evaluation of trainees is lost.

Table 61 presents the number and percent of institutions meeting from zero to ten of the criteria. All institutions satisfied at least one of the criteria. Only one institution (1.3 percent of those visited) met all ten criteria. An examination of Table 61 indicates that nearly 45 percent of the institutions met less than four criteria and 92 percent met less than seven. Only eight percent of the institutions visited satisfied seven or more of the criteria, each of which was judged to be a basic condition for a quality program. From the above, it is clear that correctional institutions in general are not providing the necessary environment for conducting vocational training programs, whatever the quality of the instructors and curriculum they provide.

The basic conclusion of this evaluation is supported by an examination of the mail survey data. In the mail survey it was found that only 57 percent of the vocational training programs were reviewed and accredited by an outside agency, and only 32 percent had adequate facilities with all necessary equipment in operable condition and not outdated. Further, only half of the vocational training program directors felt that



TABLE 60. PERCENT OF INSTITUTONS MEETING EACH OF THE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criterion	Percent
The institution has at least ten vocational training programs **	35.5
Inmate preferences play an active role in assignment to programs	96.0
Inmates are formally reviewed for reassign- ment every six months or less	44.7
There is at least one minimum aptitude or achievement requirement for entrance into programs	52.6
The institution regularly provides vocational guidance and counseling for a majority of inmates	43.4
The institution regularly provides job placement services for trainees	14.5
The institution has a formal system for following up released trainees to evaluate training	25.0
The institution has an active citizens advisory committee	23.7
Outside business or industry regularly tour the institution's training facilities	32.9
There are regularly scheduled trips to local businesses or industries	26.3

N=76

TABLE 61. NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONS MEETING DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF CRITERIA

Number of Griteria Me		Number of Institutions	Percent of Institutions	Cumulative Percent of Institutions
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		0 6 15 13 13 12 11 3 2	0.0 7.9 19.7 17.1 17.1 15.8 14.5 4.0 2.6 0.0	0.0 7.9 27.6 44.7 61.8 77.6 92.1 96.1 98.7 98.7
<u>10</u> To ta l		<u>1</u> 76	$\frac{1.3}{100.0}$	100.0
		· ·		

development of specific job skills for employment upon release was the most important goal of their activity. The situation is generally less satisfactory in prison industries and maintenance activities. In the mail survey it was found that only 21 percent of specific prison industries provided off-the-job related instruction. In addition, only 35 percent of prison industry directors felt that development of specific job skills was the most important goal of their activity. Only 20 percent of specific maintenance and operations activities provide off-the-job related instruction and only 43 percent of directors of maintenance and service activities felt that development of specific job skills was the most important goal of their activity.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

that vocational preparation in correctional institutes is generally inadequate. In prison industries and in maintenance and service activities, for example, only one in five activities provide off-the-job instruction to supplement on-the-job training, although off-the-job instruction is regarded as necessary for adequate vocational training. Less than half of these activities have as their primary goal the development of inmate job skills for employment upon release. Community contact, regarded as an important adjunct to institutional training, is very rare. Over half of all inmates in correctional institutions are assigned to these activities which generally lack the minimum necessary conditions to provide meaningful vocational preparation.

The vocational preparation offered via formal vocational training programs is also inadequate, both in quantity and quality. The number of programs per institution is generally too small to meet the diversity of inmate training needs. Over half the inmates interviewed want other types of training which are not available at their institution. Most institutions recognize the need for greater variety in program offerings, but only half are planning to add new programs. Eighteen percent of the institutions have had to curtail programs due to lack of funds.

Approximately 21 percent of all inmates are enrolled in formal vocational training programs, and about half of all inmates will participate in these programs sometime during their stay. Only a little more than half of the inmates enrolled in programs will complete all phases of training. Some will stay in programs until they are released or until they lose interest. The inmate interviews also support the conclusion that most training activities are not completed. This represents a serious waste of human and material resources.

The quality and quantity of instructional personnel appears adequate. The number of instructors is sufficient for the enrollment in most programs. The instructors have had extensive experience in industry and in teaching. Most of the instructors are certified by a state department of education.

The quality of the programs offered, however, appears inadequate. Only 32 percent of the programs, by their own admission, have adequate, modern facilities with all necessary equipment in operable condition. The reasons these programs are inadequate are lack of financial support and lack of institutional commitment to reintegration through vocational preparation. Eighty-six percent of the institutions spend less than ten percent of the total institutional expenditures on vocational training. Only half of the directors of vocational training regard developing specific job skills as the most important goal for their programs.

There is an apparent lack of relationship of job training to individual and local job market needs. Since most inmates will return to the urban area from which they came, the employment opportunities in this local job market should affect the types of programs offered. The sameness of programs from institution to institution has already been noted, as well as the extreme concentration in skilled and semi-skilled occupations. The site visits revealed that local job market information was not used because of the difficulty in changing programs. Both the site visits and the mail survey revealed a dearth of vocational testing and guidance, job placement, and follow-up.

The analysis of the survey results in terms of the eight control variables revealed that the evaluation of vocational preparation as inadequate is not restricted to a particular size or type of institution. Institutions for women or juveniles appeared no better than adult male institutions. Type and size of institution, length of stay of residents, age and sex of residents, distance from a city, racial composition, and security level generally made little difference on most variables studied.

The most significant findings of the survey are summarized below in terms of the need for vocational preparation, the potential currently available in correctional institutions, the weaknesses disclosed, and finally, recommendations for improving vocational preparation in correctional institutions.

The Need for Vocational Preparation

There are approximately 224,000 inmates in U.S. correctional institutions. The typical inmate is young (24 years old), and has not



1 43

completed high school. A majority will stay in an institution less than two years. About half have a job waiting for them when they leave. Upon release, over half of the inmates will work in unskilled or semiskilled jobs.

Most employment for released inmates is obtained through friends or relatives. Only 20 percent of the inmates indicated that special job programs or persons in the institution assisted them in obtaining outside employment. Less than half of the inmates who participated in training stated that the job waiting for them was related to the training they received in the institution.

The wardens of the institutions estimate that 70 percent of the inmates need to acquire job skills in order to obtain steady outside employment. They also estimate that only 34 percent are likely to acquire sufficient job skills during their stay. This low estimate is supported by the results of the inmate interviews.

The Potential for Vocational Preparation

The potential for vocational preparation in correctional institutions is considerable, in terms of programs, facilities, instructional personnel, and participating inmates. Although considerable attention has been given to the concept of community corrections in recent years, only 4 percent of the inmates participate in work release programs, and an additional one half of one percent participate in vocational training programs conducted outside of the institution. The wast majority of inmates must obtain vocational skills within the institution.

Three out of four institutions conduct formal vocational training programs. Twenty-one percent of all inmates are enrolled, and an additional 9 percent are on waiting lists to enter the programs. Approximately 4,000 instructors provide training in over 140 different vocational areas. Eighty-four percent of the instructors are certified, most by state departments of education. Most programs have their own shop or laboratory. Seventy-eight percent of the programs report having all the tools, equipment, and supplies needed to teach the program.

One out of three correctional institutions has one or more prison industries. Approximately II percent of all immates (mostly adults)



work in an industry. As many or more <u>new</u> workers are assigned to prison industries each year. Most of the industries report that inmates have the opportunity to learn the full range of job skills needed for outside employment. Seventy-six percent of the institutions with industries allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned to prison industries.

Over 80 percent of the institutions assign inmates to activities related to the operation or maintenance of the institution. Nearly half of all inmates are working in these activities. Only 57 percent of the activities report that inmates have the opportunity to learn the full range of job skills needed for outside employment. Seventy percent of the institutions with inmate work assignments allow inmates to participate in vocational training programs while assigned.

The Weaknesses

One weakness in correctional training activities is the lack of clear goals and a definite commitment to vocational preparation for all inmates. Only half of the directors of vocational training programs regard the development of job skills to enable an inmate to obtain employment upon release as the most important goal of their programs. Directors of prison industries, and maintenance and service activities are even less in agreement on the goal of developing specific job skills.

About half of all inmates are unable to participate in vocational training. Among the reasons given are inmates' lack of aptitude or interest, and inability to meet minimum academic requirements.

Another weakness is lack of funds for vocational training. Institutions with vocational training programs spend less on the average than seven percent of their total budget on vocational training. This level of expenditure is inadequate, and results in many program deficiencies. Prison industries, and maintenance and operations activities are usually organized to operate as cost-saving adjuncts to the institution, and not as rehabilitation activities.



Most institutions do not offer sufficient programs to meet individual inmate needs. Large institutions offer approximately 9 programs per institution, whereas medium-sized institutions offer 7 programs per institution, and small institutions offer only 4 programs per institution. However, only 9 percent of the inmates in large institutions were enrolled in training programs, compared to 28 percent in medium-sized institutions, and 38 percent in small institutions. Most institutions do not provide special programs geared to the handicapped inmate, the older inmate, the bilingual inmate, and the minority inmate.

A complete vocational training activity should provide more than programs, facilities, and instructors. A full complement of vocational testing, guidance, counseling, job placement, and follow-up services should be available. Although most institutions offer a variety of guidance, counseling, and job placement services, 40 percent of the institutions do not have a person solely responsible for coordinating vocational guidance and counseling, or for coordinating job placement services. Less than half of the institutions have an organized program for following up released or paroled inmates who have had vocational training to find out whether the training was useful in getting and keeping a job. Follow-up evaluation can validate the job market need and the quality of the training provided.

An inmate should complete his vocational training shortly before he is released, so that the skills and knowledges he has acquired will be fresh and timely upon release. In many instances, an inmate does not have a fixed date on which he is eligible for release or parole, so scheduling training is very difficult. Most institutions begin vocational training as soon as possible after the inmate enters the institution. Even so, only 61 percent of those enrolled in vocational training programs will complete all phases of training. After training is completed or terminated, 30 percent of the trainees are assigned to unrelated work activities, or are returned to the general institutional population for the remainder of their stay. As many trainees as possible should be assigned to an activity related to their training. Relevant work assignments will frequently enable a trainee to practice or further develop the job skills taught in the program.

All programs and activities should be periodically reviewed and accredited by an outside agency. Over 40 percent of the programs surveyed have not been reviewed and accredited.

Community contact is an important factor in keeping training programs relevant, and in obtaining jobs for trainees. Sixty-six percent of the institutions do not have a local citizen's advisory committee for any of their vocational training programs. Regular tours by outside business personnel are reported by only 33 percent of the directors of training, and only 30 percent allow inmates to make regularly scheduled field trips outside the institution to local businesses or industries. Only 7 percent of the training directors report all three types of community contact. Prison industries, and maintenance and service activities have even less community contact.

Inmates in prison industries, and maintenance and service activities should acquire specific job skills through a combination of on-the-job and off-the-job training. On-the-job training is defined as training that takes place in a work setting during the work day. Off-the-job training is defined as related instruction that takes place in a class-room or shop and which does not occur during the regular productive process. Although most of the industries and work activities have designated persons responsible for providing on-the-job training, only one out of five uses a written training plan. About 6 percent of the inmates working in prison industries, and 4 percent of the inmates working in maintenance or service jobs receive off-the-job training. The absence of off-the-job training limits the effectiveness of the training provided in these work activities.

Apprenticeship training is a formal system of training and skifl progression used in many skilled trades. It involves a multi-year program of on-the-job training and related off-the-job instruction. Apprenticeship programs are applicable to many institutional maintenance activities. However, it is necessary that the training be recognized outside the institution. Only 14 percent of the activities offer approved apprenticeship training programs. Since apprenticeship training extends over several years, it is important that an apprentice trainee as be able to apply the hours he has completed to an apprenticeship program



outside the institution. This is possible in only 20 percent of the activities.

Recommendations For Improving Vocational Preparation

A number of recommendations, by no means original, can be made to improve the quality of vocational preparation in correctional institutions. Most of the recommendations which follow will require increased funding for implementation.

Current reform in corrections appears to be moving to smaller, institutions and shorter sentences (National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, 1973). Paradoxically, some aspects of training appear to be better in large institutions and in institutions where immates stay for relatively long periods of time. For example, vocational training programs are found more often in large institutions and long-stay institutions. Large institutions are more likely to have specific vocational training budgets. Large institutions also offer, in comparison to medium and small institutions, a greater variety of vocational training programs. The percentage of programs offering approved apprenticeship training increases with the inmate length of stay. Only some of these factors are related to the quality of training, and the opportunity to participate in a program is definitely less in a large institution. All inmates should have the opportunity to participate in meaningful vocational preparation activities. Institutional and program barriers to fuller participation should be removed.

Another policy issue concerns pay for inmates. Seventy-six percent of the vocational training programs do not provide remuneration to trainees. Approximately 40 percent of prison industries and 50 percent of maintenance and service activities do not pay inmates for their work. The rate of pay rarely reaches the minimum wage level in these activities. In a correctional institution, even small amounts of pay can provide significant motivation for inmates to participate in training programs. This is particularly true in those institutions where pay is provided for work activities, which have less training value than formal programs. Correctional authorities should



consider what they are motivating inmates to do. Pay, however, is only one type of reward. Other reward systems (e.g., special privileges) could be implemented which would motivate participation and achievement in training activities.

The relation of training to the distance that the institution is located from an urban center is another policy issue touched upon by the survey. Although work release programs are found more often in institutions located near urban centers, no other aspects of vocational preparation are related to this variable. Among the variables not related to distance are instructor salaries, use of local citizen advisory committees, community contacts, and provision of special programs. The suggested training benefits of having the institution located in or near an urban center are not supported by the survey results. This may be due to the social isolation of the institutions, wherever they are located. Other aspects of this isolation (e.g., lack of community contacts and job placement activities) have been noted.

There should be a greater variety of offerings in institutions to meet individual vocational needs. Provisions need to be made not only for more diversified programs and additional training slots, but also for special programs geared to the handicapped inmate, the older inmate, the bilingual inmate, and the minority inmate. This can be achieved through increased use of community resources.

Greatly expanded work release programs are needed, and more inmates should participate in training programs conducted outside the institution. In view of institutional constraints, this alternative may be preferable to expanding programs inside the institution.

community contacts should be greatly expanded. These contacts include having active occupational advisory committees for all vocational preparation activities, having regular tours of the training facilities by outside business or industrial personnel, and having regularly scheduled field trips outside the institution. All vocational preparation activities should be reviewed and accredited by an outside agency.

An improved program of vocational guidance and counseling, and job placement is needed. Trained personnel should be available in each institution to perform these functions. The large percentage of inmates



who do not complete the training programs indicates that more attention should be given to vocational testing and counseling, and to scheduling of training. Perhaps shorter, more intensive, modular training programs should be implemented.

The success of the various vocational preparation activities should be regularly evaluated by each institution or correctional system. There should be an organized program for obtaining information on released or paroled inmates who have participated in vocational preparation activities.

Prison industries, and maintenance and service work activities need to be planned from a vocational preparation point-of-view. Off-the-job training should be made a part of all work activities. Institutional work assignments should be planned to support and augment training. Apprenticeship training programs, which are transferable, can be instituted in connection with many work assignments.

The potential for conducting programs within the institutions is great. The current investment in manpower and facilities is significant. However, additional resources and a new commitment are needed to actualize this potential.



REFERENCES

- (1) American Correctional Association. Directory of Correctional Institutions and Agencies. American Correctional Association, College Park, Maryland, 1972.
- (2) Bailey, Walter C., Correctional Outcome: An Evaluation of 100 Reports. School of Social Welfare, University of California, Los Angeles, 1961.
- (3) Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Department of Justice. <u>National Prisoner Statistics</u>. NPS Bulletin No. 47, 1972.
- (4) Feldman, Sylvia D., <u>Trends in Offender Vocational and Education Programs:</u> terature Search. (mimeographed), 1974.
- (5) Glaser, Daniel. The Effectiveness of a Prison and Parole System. Bobbs-Merrill Co., Inc., Indianapolis, 1964.
- Glaser, Daniel, and O'Leary, Vincent, Personal Characteristics and Parole Outcome. Office of Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Development, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1966.
- (7) Hitt, William D., Agostino, Norman R. and Cress, Ronald J., An Analysis of the Education and Training Systems at Milan, Michigan and Terre Haute, Indiana. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio 1968.
- (8) Kerle, Kenneth E., "Inmate Education: U.S. and Europe", paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- (9) Martinson, Robert. 'What Works? Questions and Answers about Prison Reform.' Public Interest, No. 35, 1974, 22-54.
- (10) McCollum, Sylvia G. The Potential of New Educational Delivery Systems for Correctional Treatment. U.S. Bureau of Prisons, Washington, D.C., 1973.
- (11) National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals. Corrections. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1973.
- (12) Neff, Donald R., <u>Vocational Education in State and Federal Adult</u>

 <u>Correctional Institutions in the United States</u>. unpublished doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 1972.
- (13) New England Resource Center for Occupational Education, A Guide to Correctional Vocational Training, Newton, Massachusetts, 1973.
- (14) President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice.

 Task Force Report: Corrections. U.S. Government Printing Office,
 Washington, D.C., 1967.
- (15) Swanson, Richard M., A Survey of State Correctional Work Release

 Programs. Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections,
 Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, 1973.
- (16) Torrence, John T., <u>Directory of Vocational Training</u>, U.S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, 1966.



APPENDIX A

MAIL SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

INSTITUTIONA! DATA

1. Which and of the following best describes this institution?

prison, penitentiary or reformatory detention or classification center

RY THE

WARDEN

Gonora) Instructions: This questionnaire is to be completed by the WARDEN
or SUPERINTENDENT of the institution. It requests summary information
concerning the total prison population. This brief form is one of several
questionnaires which have been sent to this institution? The other, more
detailed questionnaires, are to be completed by the staff personnel in
charge of vocational education, prison industries, and maintenance and
operations. You may collect the completed forms and return them to us
or have the staff return them to us directly.

WHEN COMPLETING THIS OUFSTIONNAIRE, YOU WILL BE REQUESTED TO MAKE ONE OF THREE TYPES OF RESPONSES AS FOLLOWS: FILL IN THE NUMBER OF THE ANSWER YOU SELECT IN THE SPACE AT THE RICHT

Which one of the following best describes this institution?

1. minimum security

pre-release center such as halfway house

other (specify)

farm or work camp

training school

- PLACE A CHECK MARK (:) IN THE SPACE TO THE RIGHT, OR
- FILL IN A NUMBRICAL AUSWER SUCH AS A NUMBER OR PERCENT OF PEOPLE IN THE SPACES PROVIDED.
- Please indicate any corrections on the following label. This information will not be used in the study. It will only be used if further contact is needed concerning this information.

2. medium security 4. combination of above; please explain 4. combination of above; please explain which of the following best describes the location of this institution relative to the nearest city with a population of 25,000 or more 1. institution is within such a community 2. less than 10 miles away 3. 10 to 25 miles away 4. contact the community are also as a community and the area are as a community are as a community and the area are as a community are area.
--

A-1

As Warden/Superintendent, do you have administrative responsibility for .

Date

a single physical facility?

multiple physical facilities (e.g., prison, work camp, farm, etc.)?

than one facility, how many facilities do you direct? If you have administrative responsibility for more

Total

	.				
u o	. 2	اٍ	٦	٦	
Į					
<u> </u>	• .	•	•	•	•
s r	•	•	•	•	•
.5	:	• :	:	:	•
\$15°		•			
되고		.•			. •
ii gr	•	•	•		•
>	.•	•	•	•	•
ita ii	•		•.	•	•
0 3 4 0	•	·	:	·	•
OS			•		
¥å isf			•		
rar	•	LS	LS	ars	•
٠. تو د	• •	,ea	e e	ye	•
<u> </u>	80	<i>∞</i> ,	· >	0	ze r
	*	c	_	_	. ŭ
Sas	uc.	ha	ha	, ha	
e la	Ξ.	. . .	S	S	ō .
	. less than one year	! I to less than 3 years	. 3 to less than 6 years .	4. 6 to less than 10 years	. 10 years or longer
وإة		•	0		yes
iro To	68	٠. بند	بد	u	oʻ
a Pa	÷	٠,		Ψ	<u>, </u>
Estimate the percent of inmates whose stay in this institution (before parole, release, or transfer) will probably be:	_:	2.	~~	7	· •
r in	• .				
Es					

3

Α,

	<u> </u>	·			. I Ic
If monies other than those from the institutional budget were spent for vocational training, what were the sources of these funds? (theck all, that apply and give the amounts spent during the last fiscal year.) Source Sourc		5. state department of vocational education, of vocational education, b. ESEA Title 1	11. other (specify)	al vocational training open the last fiscal year? Interesting the institutional budget the institutional budget in the institution 15. Do notes for new equipment or ones by category if possibints by category if possib	2. salaries

A TALL MC. PROCESSMS	17.	Do you have any prison, industries at this institution	
	. ,,	or its satellites?	١
		. 1. Yes 2. No	
r were pply cal year.)	·	IF THE ANSWER TO NUMBER 17 1S "YES" PLEASE DISTRIBUTE PACKET "B" TO THE SUPERVISOR OR DIRECTOR OF PRISON INDUSTRIES AT THIS INSTITUTION, IF "NO", DISCARD PACKET "B".	
Amount		Name of Person Given Packer B	
	18.	Do any innates have work assignments in prison maintenange and operations activities such as food service, building maintenance nainting or hospital duty?	
15		l. Yes	· .
		2. NO THI THE ANSWER TO NUMBER TO IS "YES", PLEASE DISTRIBUTE THE	
	· 	. = =	
63		Names of Persons Given Packet C	
£5 , J . 83		Maintenance Director:	
		Food Service Director:	
14		Hospital Director:	•
20		Other Service Director:	
26			
32		Is there a work release program for inmates of this institution?	
•		l. Yes	89
		2. No	
•		If YES, how many inmates are currently participating in work release programs?	
ition ide all		PIEASE RETURN THIS COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE (even if you	٠,
id from		ENVELOPE FF PERS	•
illities.		CHARGE OF THE RESPECTIVE WORK AND EDUCATION PROCRAMS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION IN THIS COMPREHENSIVE NATIONAL STUDY OF PRISON TRAINING PROCRAMS.	
8		lf additional materials are needed, contact	
	·	Mrs. Diane laDow (Battelle-Columbus Laboratories ' '	
~		505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201	
		Please feel free to call collect at (614) 299-315T, Extensión 3222, if you have any questions.	,

FOR COMPLEYION BY

DIRECTOR

OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

FORM A: VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

PART 1

The purpose of this study is to develop a comprefraining or the person most familiar with the institution's total voca-This questionnaire consists of two hensive description of vocational training provided to currectional parts. Part I should be completed by the Director of Vocational tional training program. Part I contains questions of a general nature about the entire vocational program. institutions in the United States. General Instructions:

Part 2 completed for each program identified in Question 2 of Part 1. Please have one copy of Part 2 can be completed by the Director of Vocational Training or Part 2 of the questionnaire requests more specific information on each vocational training program offered within the institution. by the instructor of each specific program.

101

and maintenance. These programs will be covered in separate questionnaires. Include only programs under the direct supervision of the vocational training department. Please do not include general education, woll release, study release, and programs conducted by prison industries

INSTRUCTIONS: WHEN CONPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, YOU WILL BE REQUESTED TO MAKE URE OF THREE TYPES OF RESPONSES AS FOLLOWS:

- FILL IN THE NUMBER OF THE ANSWER YOU SELECT IN THE SPACE AT THE RICHT
- PLACE A CHECK MARK (.) IN THE SPACE TO THE RIGHT, ON
- FILL IN A NUMERICAL ANSWER SUCH AS A NUMBER ON PERCENT OF PEUPLE IN THE SPACES PROVIDED. ۳.

envelope by May 17, 1974. If you have any questions or need more materials, please call Diane LaDow at (614) 299-3151, Extension 3223. Please return Part 1 as soon as possible in the enclosed envelope. vocational training program to Battelle in the other enclosed Please 'return one questionmaire (Part 2 of Form &) for each? Your cooperation in this endeavor is greatly appreciated.

used it fuither contact is needed concerning this information Picase provide the fullowing identifying intornations; this intofaction will not be used in the study. It will only be

Hank of Institution , S of person completing questionmain ř:

His title or position

For the purpose of this survey, VOXATIONAL TRAINING is defined as : evellet

NATALIONAL, IRAINING PROCRAMS ARE THOSE PROGRAMS WHICH ARE DESIGNED TO PREPARE INNATES FOR EMPLOYMENT IN SPECIFIC JOBS OR OCCUPATIONS UPON RELEASE.

r's

Please list each vocational program offered this year under your supervision. A training program may consist of several courses, and/or on-the-job training which together prepare a trainee for a specific job or occupation. P<u>lease do not list individual courses</u>. For each program listed:

give the number of immates currently enrolled in ric program, and the number of inmates currently on the waiting list.

have, a copy of Part 2 of the questionnaire (yellow form) completed for each program you offer. Write the name of the program on a questionnaire, Please note that there are special forms (dark yellow) for six programs: Auto Mechanics, Curpentry, Food Preparation, questionnaire, Please note that there are special forms of these programs also have no an active the anniopriate form. If the program's

Program Name Currently Program Name Currently Program Name Currently Program Name Currently Curr	Controlly Cont	÷	Number Inmetes	Number Inmetes			Rumber Innates	Number Inmates Current
11	11		Innates Currently			Program Mame	Current	
13	11 13 15 15 15 15 15 15		Enfolled	·	v	•		
16 20 30 51 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	18			13	[7		94	87
10 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	13	April 1985 - April		20	02		ss	s
1	1			27	53		09	62
11	11		32	 ±				69
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	36	39	41	ļ.		77	92
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	15		97	87			=	<u>-</u>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	50			1 52 .		18	2
11 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	11 13 29 31 31 31 31 32 34 34 34 34 34 34 34		09	62	22		25	12
11 13 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	11 13 43 46 11 13 43 46 16 20 50 60 25 27 64 60 32 34 41 71 39 41 71 76 39 41 71 76 offered outside the institution at local vocational or technical schools. Give the number of immates currently on the waiting list for each program. 76 111 13 43 76 18 20 50 50			69	29		32	z .
11 13 43 46 46 46 46 46 46 4	11 13 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19		и	76	98		39	3
18 20 53 53 53 54 54 54 54 54	18 20 50 51 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6			1 2	43 —		97	87
25 27 60 60 60 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61	25 27 66 67 67 67 67 67 67		18	20	20		53	2
32 34 64 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	Please list any vocational training programs offered outside the institution at local vocational or technical schools. Give the number currently enrolled in each program and the number of immates currently on the waiting list for each program.			1 12	- 12		09	79
39 41 74 74 14 15 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Please list any vocational training programs offered outside the institution at local vocational or technical schools. Give the number currently enrolled in each program and the number of immates currently on the waiting list for each program.		32		13			\$.
of the the other of the transfer of the the number	Please list any vocational training programs offered outside the institution at local vocational or technical schools. Give the number currently enrolled in each program and the number of inmates currently on the waiting list for each program. 1			17	=		27	2
				20	50		53	 !એ

13

15

52 State of the st	B. Which of the following suggested goals for vocational training programs
of part.	iai practice at int to "6" least
structors or lecturers p	1. develop specific job skills
by outside organizations.	2. place inmate on a job upon release
i. White	3. develop inmate's personal and social skills
. 2. Black	4. develop innate's work habits
3. Spanish American	5. provide a means of evaluating inmates for parole
4. American Indian or Eskimo	6. provide inmates with constructive activities
5. other (specify)	s special vocational training programs offered for any
	of the following? (Check all that apply.)
and the first party and	1
categories.	67 and Correct Managed Correct
include helpers or teachers' aides.	3. visually handicapped
	4. orthopedically handicapped
1. Indates	5. other health impairments (cardiac
2. ex-inmates	problems, diabetes, etc.)
3. lecturers or instructors provided by outside	6. inmates over 40 years old
organizations who teach in the vocational	7 orher (ener(fu)
Italium programs	Z (Specify)
Estimate the average annual salary currently being	
paid to a full time vocational training	B none
32	
Estimate the usual starting salary for a full time vocational training instructor	10. What provisions are made for training special language
per year.	

	3	3	4.5	,	13	, jā.	1		, ا	ءِ ا	. 1	ا ۽	53	*		2	;· *		<u>,</u>	ا ؞	ź .	. !	. ·	
		•	•		•	* .		:		•	•	•						•	•	•	staff			
	•	•	•	parole .		any		. 71	:	:		•		•	*.;	•	-81 -81	•						
		skills.		for	activities	red for	ble).		•	•		•		,		•	language	•	•	•	instruction∎l			
\$1.00 m	re ledse .	social	•	inmates		grams offered apply.)	trainable)	•	· ·	•		:				•	special apply.)	•	•	•	for ins			
	upon rel	al and	habits .	evaluating	constructive x	training programs ck all that apply	able or	 b	•	ped	s (cardiac	old					ining that	materials	•	tion .	minority problems			
4	n dof e	personal	work	of eval	with co	raining Kall t	d (educable	handicapped	pped .	handicapped	impairments betes, etc.)	5				•	for ck a		instructors	instruction	ority pi			
	ate on	ĭnmate's	inmate's	ue an s	inmates	11	retarded		handicapped		lth. dia	ver 40		specity).		· ·	2			English	in mind	(specify)		
	place inmate	develop fi	develop in	provide a	provide i	cial vocational following? (Che	mentally n	auditorially	visually h	orthopedically	other health, problems, dia	inmates o		orner (sp			pròvisions are Ítural groups?	bilingual	bilingual	special E	training	other (sp		
		de	de	pre	pro	Special the follo	. 2	aud	vis	ort	oth		1	10		none,	t pròvis cultural	bi	. 1	s.	ij	0.1		ļ
,		m.	4	٠'n.	9	Are spe of the	- :		, "	4.	· · · ·	9	·F	;	a	ċ	What pi or cul	٦.	. 61	e.	· •	5.	•	1
**						*0 *0		•									10.			•				
					-	σ.				•			*				_							

14. What types of job placement services are regularly provided to mast inmates during their stay in this institution? (Check all that apply) 1. file of "position openings" maintained in the institution. 2. literature or other information concerning job opportunities, and entry requirements provided 35 3. referral of inmates to particular employer(s) for job interview.	4. job placement services provided by pre-release center or halfway house. 5. course on job hunting skills, such as filling out a application blanks, appropriate interview behavior, etc. 6. registration of inmates at state or local employment offices. 7. none provided.	rvices to inmates during in? (Check all that apply.)	2. vocational rehabilitation agency	6. parolè officer	
Il. Which of the tollowing types of tests are actually used in selecting trainers for vocational training programs? (Check all that apply.) 1. apritude tests	4. achievement tests 5. 1.0. tests 6. none used 12. In your opinion, what percent of the inmates who enter this institution are unable to participate in vocational training programs due to:		•	13. What types of vocational guidance and their stay in this regularly provided to most inmates dueing their stay in this institution? (Check all that apply.) 1. aptitude testing	4. Individual vocational counseling

ì		
9	is there a person in this institution (apart from the Director of Vocational Training) whose major job	
	responsibility includes coordination of:	l. less than 3 months
	(1) ves (2) no	2. 3 to less than 6 months
		3, 6 to less than 12 months
	i. vocational guidance and counseling service 39	
	2. job placement services	4. 12 months or more
1.7		prison indus
	is there an organized program, which is carried out, for following up released o	naintenance or outside jobs take vocational training
	inmates who have had vocational train	יין ספול מחוד או רווב פעוד ריות ביין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין
	ether or not thi	I. yes
	ul to the	2. 110
	'n.	
	l. yes, for all programs	a citizen's occupational
	2. yes, for some programs	Tor the institution's vocational training problems.
	3. no	l. yes, for all programs
18.	When is vocational training usually given?	2. yes, for most programs
	The office of the second of th	3. ves. for some programs
	ing. Andred as soon as publication in finds. Andres .	12.6
	of parties due eligibility and	6. no
	scheduled so that training will be	
		tour the institution's vocational training facilities?
	date eligibility	1. yes
	3. other (specify)	
		2. no
ŀ	- the terminated annington upst	
19.	After training is compared of terminates, typically and the trainees are:	
,	A constant to the constant to	
		E?
٠,	•	•
	ro an ac	
	training	
	he general institutional	~ .
	population	
	5. other (specify)	
7		

26. What changes do you think need to be made in the vocational training offerings? (Check all that apply.)	1. greater variety in program offerings		4. new programs to take advantage of changing job markets	5. other (specify)			e. none	27. Are you planning to add any new vocational training programs within the next year?	1. yes	2. no 3. don't know	what are these progra		2.	3.	-	
24. Do inmates make field trips outside the institution to local businesses or industries on a regulariy		2. no	≾	2. yes, insufficient funds	3. yes, equipment too expensive	4. yes, poor potential job markets for offenders 66	5. yes, poor business attitude to hiring offenders 67	6. yes, labor union restrictions on apprenticeships	(such as prison industries)	8. yes, no qualified staff available		10. yes, other (specify)				

Please return this questionnaire to Battelle in the enclosed envelope. Please return all completed copies of Part 2 as soon as possible.

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEBERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

FOR COMPLETION BY DIRECTOR OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAM IDENTIFIED BELOW

FORM A: VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

PART 2

Name of Program

	~
eneral Instructions: The purpose of this atudy is to develop a compre- ensive description of vocational training being provided in correctional natitutions in the United States. This questionnaire, which covers the pecific vocational program identified above, should be completed by the	2. List up to four specific payroll job title(s) or occupation(s) for which this program prepares trainees. For example, specific job titles for the program Auto Engine Repair might be Auto Mcchanic or Service Station Mcchanic.
rogram's instructor. lease return this questionnaire to the Director of Vocational Education s acon as possible. Your cooperation in this endeavor will be greatly ppreclated.	
NSTRUCTIONS: THEN COMPLETING THIS QUESTONNAIRE, YOU WILL BE REQUESIED O MAKE ONE OF THREE TYPES OF RESPONSES AS FOLLOWS:	3. Is there a fixed amount of training time scheduled for
AT THE KICHT 2. PLACE A CHECK MARK (4) IN THE SPACE TO THE RIGHT, OR 3. FILL IN A NUMERICAL ANSWER SUCH AS A NUMBER OR FERCENT OF PEOPLE IN THE SPACES PROVIDED.	this progress?
. Fill in the following identifying information. If not already filled in, fill in the name of the training program this data will cover at the top of this page.	4. If there is no fixed amount of training time scheduled, what determines how long a trainee remains in this program?
b. State	2. trainee remains in program until apecific performance standards are met 3. trainee remains in program as long as he's interested
c Name of person completing form	4. other (explain)
d. His title or position	

130.

1. under 15 years	2. 15 - 17		5. 31 - 40	6. 41 – 50	7. 51 or more	12. Which of the following are requirements for an inmate to enter this program? (Check all that apply.)	1. naust be within a given age range	2. never incarcerated for certain specific offenses 75.	3. must have minimum custody or security level	4. must pass a test(s)	•	6. other (specify)		6. no requirements	13. Indicate the minimum levels usually required for entry into this program. If there is no minimum level for a particular item, write "0" in the appropriate space.	1. minimum reading grade level th grade	2. minimum arithmetic grade level th grade	3. minimum school grade completed (or equivalent)	4. minfram I.O. score	5. other (specify)	
-------------------	------------	--	------------	------------	---------------	--	--------------------------------------	---	--	------------------------	---	--------------------	--	--------------------	---	---	--	---	-----------------------	--------------------	--

11. Approximately how many of the inmates currently in this program are in each of the following age groups?

A	is in the second	13		
		•		
		•		1 1
			la la la la	†\$ i¤
	ip ed?.	program, gram include		who have have lated to use or
	offer approved apprenticeship tate or federally registered? by the hours he has completed in apprenticeship program outside	n this programilis programilis programilis completed the	the contraction of the contracti	he last two years who have in last two years who have in the last two years who have information have information
	reg	in this progressive please	Check here if this is a new program being offered for the first time. Of those enrolled in this program within the last two years, how many trainees completed phases of the training program? Check here if there is no definite length of program.	wledge, of those enrol the last two years whi elected in jobs relate training upon release placed in jobs not old of training upon role don't you have inform
. 1	fer approved apprate or federally		gram trees co:	he last two yes the last two yes referred in Jobs referred in Jobs placed in Jobs ole
agency	rove fede fede fede fede fede fede	nrollied o yea	program program trainees ogram?	Se, callast punk punk punk punk punk punk punk punk
	r app e or e or c hou	le tily e enro	t time. this programment trime. this programment trime.	ogram within the last or paroled: sed or paroled: how many were place the field of traff parole? related to field of craft or field of the field
now specify the	am offer is state know know an appre	t know applicable courrently ces were en n the last	in the own man (in find grand)	your knowle or paroled: many were plus of trailer. le?
krow spec	Does this program offer training which is state 1. yes 2. no 3. dom't know Can a traince apply the	1. yes 2. no 3. dom't know 4. not applicable uding those currently enrolled in many trainces were enrolled in time within the last two years? previous enrollees whether they	Check here if this is a new progregories of those enrolled in this programs, two years, how many trained phases of the training program? Check here if there is no definite program.	To the best of your knowledge, in this program within the last been released or paroled: 1. how many were placed the field of tratoing parole? 2. how many were placed related to field of related to field of related to field of related to how many don't y.
ide agency? 1. yeak 2. no 3. don't kre es, please at	Does this progretating which 1. yes 2. no 3. dom't	the institution? 1. yes 2. no 3. don't i 4. not api Excluding those o how many trainces sometime within	cor t	To the best of in this prograd been released in the the pare 2. how rela
aide a 1. y. 2. n. y. 3. d.	this ning v	the instit 1. 2. 3. 4. Excluding how many sometime v	Check here 11 offered for 1 of those enr last two year phases of the	the be the be in role
l. 2; 3. If yes,	Does trair trair trair trair	1. 2. 2. 3. 4. Excluding how many sometime	Check hooffered those last two phases of program	to t becn
puta If y	. 19. 20.	21.	\$	23.
		·		
, ,		ou (Ī
1 2 2	= = = =	3 2	ı	·

24. What kinds of appraisal of trainer progress are made? (Check all that apply.)

_	-:	grade at end of program		
_	7	4000		
	,	Oy	<u>-</u>	Please
	÷	diagnostic ratings of skill areas	1	provie
	7	written narrative progress reports	Use	פודו
	5.	observation of performance	<	
ĝoj.	v	6) paper and pencil achievement tests	27	
	۲.	and per		-
	&	sess L		٠,
	10.		•	
			•	•
	•			1 ")
£1-	11.			1 4
.A .≈	Upon suc traince, receive?	successful completion of the program by the nee, which of the following can the trainee or? (Check all that apply.)		1 60
	. .	1. certificate, diploma, or license given by outside organization	`.	•
· ',	77	2. cortificate given by this institution		1
	ED.	3. apprenticeship credit or certificate	- 58. 	ن
		i. high school or GFD credit		~ "
	۶	5. credit toward post high achool degree	<u>+</u>	
	9	6. opportunity to take test for license or certificate		1 '
	1	7. other kinds of credit (specify)	,	- ' -
				<u></u>
			_	

		٠.	
		Ē	
		Ĭ	
	Į.	Ę	
	Ç,	٥	
	Ç	ě	
	ĕ	5	•
	ت	3	•
	5	C)	•
		ž	٠
	2	Ē	•
	ē	Ξ	•
	-	ų.	٠
	Ě	ڃُ	•
	"	this program? Do not include inmates who are currently	enrolled in this program
	•	_	7
	÷	of C	ဗိ
_	Ē	_	þī
	Ļ	č	•
	ž	~	Ξ
	ğ	Ē	-
	ٽ	H	÷
	>	č	Þ
	Ē	۵	Ž
	2	•	10
	÷	Ξ	Ē
	.4		
	٠.		•

lease answer the following questions for each person who currently rovides related classroom instruction or hands-on shop or laboratory, raining for this program. Space has been provided for three instructors, se columns two and three only if there is more than one instructor.

Are the current instructors certified in the area in which they are teaching? (Check all that apply for each instructor.)

	Certification	-	Instructor	, P
۲.	l. not certified			
2.	by state board of education			
	by state licensing board 15	b		
4.	by union value			
۸.	other (specify)			
	12 \			
			ľ	

Give the number of years of prior experience (to the nearest year) in each of the following categories for each instructor currently teaching in this program.

Instructor 3	,			
1				-
Category	teaching at this institution 24	teaching at other correctional institutions	teaching at non-correctional institutions, i.e., vocational or technical schools	work experience in industry related to field of instruction

A SIRVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

FORM B: PRISON INDUSTRIES

PART 1

~

General Instructions: The purpose of this study is to develop a compre-
hensive description of vocational training provided in correctional
institutions in the United States. This questionnaire consists of two
parts. Part I contains questions of a general nature about the training
opportunities in prison industries. Part 2 of the questionnaire requests
more specific information on each prison industry. Please complete one
copy of Part 2 for each industry identified in Question 7 below.

Please return the completed questionnaire as soon as possible to Battelle in the enclosed envelope by May 17, 1974. If you have any questions or need more materials, please call Diane LaDow at (614) 299-3151, Extension 3222. Your cooperation in this endeavor is greatly appreciated.

INSTRUCTIONS: WHEN COMPLETING THESE QUESTIONNAIRES, YOU WILL BE REQUESTED TO MAKE ONE OF THREE TYPES OF RESPONSES AS FOLLOWS:

- FILL IN THE NUMBER OF THE ANSWER YOU SELECT IN THE SPACE AT THE RIGHT
 - PLACE A CHECK MARK (4) IN THE SPACE TO THE RIGHT, OR
- FILL IN A NUMERICAL ANSWER SUCH AS A NUMBER OR PERCENT OF PEOPLE IN THE SPACES PROVIDED.

71-∀

134

- information will not be used in the study. It will only be used Please provide the following identifying information: This if further contact concerning this information is needed.
- Name of Institution

1

- State
- Name of person completing questionnaire
- d. Title or position
- Is there a citizen's occupational advisory committee tor prison industries within the institution (excluding federal or state level advisory committees)? . .

Complete one copy of Part 2 for each prison industry listed above. Be sure to fill in the name of the industry covered by Part 2 at the top of each questionnaire.

yes

	· ·
	2. no
. 4	Which of the following suggested goals for prison industries do you feel are most important in actual practice at your institution? Rank order these from "I" most important to "7" least
	important. 1. develop specific job skills for employment on release .
	2. provide income for inmate while in institution
	3. develop inmate's work habits
	4. reduce cost of incarceration to state
	5. produce a quality product at a profit
	6, provide a means of evaluating inmate for parole
	7. provide inmates with constructive activities
۶.	Do outside business or industry personnel regularly tour the prison industries facilities?
	I. yes
	2. no
9	Please list the industries situated at this institution.
	.,
	7
2.6	3.

1

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN PEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS FORM B: PART 2 PRISON INDUSTRIES

Name of Industry	FORM B: PART 2	PRISON INDUSTRIES	
Please indicate up to <u>four</u> major payroll job title(s) or occupation(s) for which this industry frequently prepares inmates. For example, specific job titles for a furniture factory program might be Furniture Finisher or Wicker Worker.	9	On the average, how much are inmates in this industry paid per hour? If nothing, write in \$0.00. Now many different job assignments does an inmate? typically perform within a two year period in this industry?	
	, vi vi	In addition to their regular job assignment, are inmates given the opportunity to learn some of the other jobs in this industry?	. 1
Do most inmates in this industry have the opportunity to learn the full range of specific job skills needed for successful performance on a job upon release or parole?	6 =	1. no If an inmate needs additional training in order to perform his job properly, which of the following best describes what you now usually do?	4/2
2. no currently working in this in were assigned to this indust			K-IJ
the last year? In your opinion, what do the inmates generally see as the advantage of being in this industry? Rank order these from "!" most important to "7" least important.	H :: 88	INC	,
pay for this assignment	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	rticular person or persons formally assigned coviding specific instruction to inmates and provides such instruction as a major part of	la la
destrable housing area (cell block, wing, etc.) increased freedom of movement in institution other (specify)	23 23 24 24	2. no 1. If YES, how many of the persons referred to in Question 11 are:	
		l. non-inmate supervisor(s) 34	
		2. experienced inmate(s)	1
	:	3. other (specify)	

A-15



16. Who provides the related classroom of	40 l. vocational training department	2. prison industry personnel	3. other (specify)		celving fon in inmates	rograms of	•	1. yes 2. no	45 19. Estimate the amount of training time usually provided for off-the-job training (whether fixed amount or not) for each of the following activities. Use "U" if the activity	is not scheduled.	clock hours per week for weeks	2. hands-on shop or laboratory training Clock hours per week for weeks					wich
2. Is there a <u>written</u> training plan for conducting	סווירוופין סח רופווויוף זון רוויך דייירים דיירים דייירים דייירים דייירים דייירים דייירים דיירים דיירי	1. yes	2. no	13. What kinds of appraisal of inmates' progress are made	ntila luced	2. diagnostic ratings of the various skill areas involved in the job	3. written progress reports to supervisor	4. cvaluation of work adjustment	. ,		7. none	PIEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS CONCERNING OFF-THE-JOB TRAINING, 1.e., TRAINING THAT TAKES PIACE IN A CLASSROOM OR IN THE SHOP WHICH DOES NOT OCCUR DURING THE RECULAR PRODUCTIVE	PROCESS.	14. Is any related classroom instruction or off-the-job training provided to teach newly assigned inmates job skills for any of the jobs or occupations in this industry	2. no	If NO, do not complete the rest of the questionnaire.	 If YES, please list up to four jobs or occupations for which off-the-job training is provided.

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS FORM C: PART 1

			,		
develop a compre- correctional	consists of two	f the questionnaire	and operations	activity identified	
is to	naire	t 2 0	nance	each	
udy/ ovid	tion	Par	Inte	For	
General Instructions: The purpose of this scudy is to develop a compre-	hensive description of vocations. This questionnaire consists of two institutions in the United States. This questionnaire consists of two	parts. Part i contains questions of a general matter contained coportunities in maintenance and operations. Part 2 of the questionnaire	requests more specific information on each maintenance and operations	activity. Please complete one copy of Part 2 For each activity identified	in Question 7 below.

Please return the completed questionnaire as soon as possible to Battelle in the enclosed envelope by May 17, 1974. If you have any questions or need more materials, please call Diane LaDow at (614) 299-3151, Extension Your cooperation in this endeavor is greatly appreciated. 3222. INSTRUCTIONS: WHEN COMPLETING THESE QUESTIONNAIRES, YOU WILL BE REQUESTED TO MAKE ONE OF THREE TYPES OF RESPONSES AS FOLLOWS:

- FILL IN THE NUMBER OF THE ANSWER YOU SELECT IN THE SPACE AT THE RIGHT
- PLACE A CHECK MARK, (4) IN THE SPACE TO THE RIGHT, OR

137

- FILL IN A NUMERICAL ANSWER SUCH AS A NUMBER OR PERCENT OF PEOPLE IN THE SPACES PROVIDED.
- information will not be used in the study. It will only be used Please provide the following identifying information: This if further contact concerning this information is needed.
- Name of Institution

		T 97	•	9
، غ				c. Name of Person Completing Questionnaire
	مَ			

- Title or Position
- maintenance and operations activities within the institution (excluding federal or state level advisory committees)? . Is there a citizen's occupational advisory committee for

sure to fill in the name of the activity covered by Part 2 at the

top of each questionnaire.

	maintenance and operations activities?	10
	2. no	
	Which of the following suggested goals for maintenance and operations activities do you feel are most important in actual practice at your institution? Rank <u>order these</u> from "1" most important to "7" least important.	
	1. develop specific'job skills for employment on release	.12
	2. provide income for inmare while in institution	• .
	3. develop inmate's work habits	•
•	4. reduce cost of incarceration to state	
	5. produce a quality product at a profit	
	6. provide a means of evaluating inmate for parole	
	7. provide inmates with constructive activities	16
5.	Do outside business or industry personnel <u>regularly</u> tour the maintenance and operations facilities?	• "
	1. yes	
	2. no	
9	please list the three activities under your supervision to which the most inmates are assigned at this institution.	, <u>u</u>
	2	
٠.		

A-17

OF MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS

A SURVEY OF VOCATIONAL TRAINING IN FEDERAL AND STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

LIONS
OPERAT
AND
HA INTENANCE
7
PART
: :
SEN.

ndicate up to four major payroll job title(s) or on(s) for which this activity frequently prepares For example, specific job titles for an auto repair night be Auto bechanic or Service Station Mechanic. innates in this activity have the opportunity to till range of specific job skills needed for till performance on a job upon release or parole? yes no y innates are currently working in this y innates are currently working in this y innates are currently working in this y near vorkers were assigned to this activity in y near vorkers were assigned to this activity? Rank order theat nost important to """ least important. consideration for early parole consideration for early parole nast important to """ least important. consideration for early parole assignment in institution (explain) desirable work assignment in institution (explain) desirable housing area (cell block, wing, etc.) \$\frac{7}{27}\$ increased freedom of movement in institution sy other (specify)	Name of Activity	
in be he	lease indicate up to <u>four</u> major payroll job title(s) or ccupation(s) for which this activity frequently prepares numbers. For example, specific job titles for an auto repair	On the average, how much are inmates in this activity paid per hour? If nothing, write in \$0.00
in i		In addition to their regular job assignments, are inmates given the opportunity to learn some of the other skills associated with this activity?
tes are currently working in this vorkers were assigned to this activity in ? in on, what do the inmates generally see as the being in this activity? Rank order these timportant to "7" least important. deration for early parole		If an inmate needs additional training in order to perform his job properly, which of the following best describes what you now usually do?
sthe	tes are currently working in this	
pay for this assignment	the last year? In your opinion, what do the inmates generally see as the advantage of being in this activity? Rank order these from "1" most important to "7" least important.	
desirable housing area (cell block, wing, etc.) $\frac{1}{24}$	consideration for early parole	
	desirable housing area (cell block, wing, etc.) [7] increased freedom of movement in institution	

A-18

9

139

	Is there the duty who actu	1.	If YES,	<u>;</u>	2.	j.	•	Is there training	
	17.	-	13.					14.	
ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC					٠			:	

l. yes

13. other (specify) 14. maintenance or service personner 15. other (specify) 16. stated classroom instruction in this activity's training program? Exclude those inmates enrolled in formal vocational training programs from the vocational training department 18. there a fixed amount of training time scheduled for off-the-job training? 19. yes 2. no 10. yes 2. no 11. yes 12. no 13. classroom or related instruction 14. classroom or related instruction 15. clock hours per week for the activity is not scheduled. 16. classroom or related instruction 17. classroom or related instruction 18. classroom or related instruction 2. hands—on shop or laboratory training
training time scheduled for ining time usually provided for her fixed amount or not) for each is. Use "0" if the activity is ted instruction is per week for weeks laboratory training
rs per week for 60 1aboratory training

APPENDIX B

INMATE INTERVIEW FORM

INMATE INTERVIEW

		•	•	Interview Dat	e:
				Institution:_	
•		•		Institution C	ode:
7		- - -		Immate Code:	
				1	•
. How	long ha	ave you been in thi	s institution? .		/ (mos.) (yrs.)
		, st			
. When	do you	u expect to be rele XIMATE DATE IF NECE	ased or paroled?	(mo.)	(day) (year)
		ime will you have s?	erved on your cu	rrent	/(mos.) /(yrs.)
. Whil	e you ny oth	were here, were you er work related to	assigned to pri the operation of	son industries the instituti	, maintenance, on?
	1,	yes, prison indust	ry (specify)		···-
				· · ·	
**.	2.	yes, maintenance ((specify)		:`
•					
	·)	yes, operations (s	•		
			-	,	
	4.	yes, other (specif	fy)		
	•	,52, 12		•	



141

•	1.	yes (explain) <u> </u>	<u> </u>						
,											
					- .	· · ·					
İ	, ,										
		· · ·				`					
											
	2.	no (explain)				•				
-	-		ر	,·							•
		·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					_		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·				
							•				
Have	1.	eceiv	ed any	job tr a i	ning here	?	• • •	•	• • •	• •	•
	1. 2.	eceiv yes no [ed any	job trai		?	TO #15.]			B	
	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO	job trai D BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO	job trai	ning here	e?	TO #15.]	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
•	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO	job trai D BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
[IF	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO	job trai BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
[IF	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO What	job trai BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
1. 2. 3.	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO What	job trai BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
1. 2. 3.	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO What	job trai BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1
1. 2. 3.	1. 2. YES TO	yes no [ed any PROBE TO What	job trai BE SUR training	ning here	e?	TO #15.] red here? Mos.	(PRO	BE TO C	CLASS	IFY 1

3.

other (specify)_

on-the-job training in maintenance & operations, off-the-job training in maintenance & operations

on-the-job training in prison industries off-the-job training in prison industries

[I		,							
						<u> </u>	•		
		, .				•	٠.		
		,	• .						,
									
					-			÷	
_			<u></u>			· · ·		<u> </u>	
Ar	e you cu	rrently re	ceiving a	ny traini	ng?		• • •		
	1. 2.	-		· .	•			•	
[I	F YES TO	#9] Will	you comp	olete this	trainin	g b ef or	re you 1	leave?	
	1.		0	***	•				
		no not appli	4 3	_		_			
	3.	not appli	cable (r	no d efinit	e length	of pr	ogram)		
Ho įr	w long w n a train	ere you in ing progra	this ins m or act:	stitution ivity?	before y	ou wer	e placed		mo:
į, r	i a train	ing progra	m or act:	ivity?		• • •		•	
įr Di	n a train d you ha	ere you in ling progra	m or act: e about'u	vhich prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	iing progra ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	m or act:	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic	e about warrain	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic yes (expl	e about warrain	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	i .
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic yes (expl	e about warrain	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic yes (expl	e about warrain	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	
įr Di	d you ha	ve a choic yes (expl	e about warrain	which prog	rams or	• • •		•	



2. good 3. fair						á	
7		•					
		• *				.*	
4. poor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
•		•				•	
ld you r	ate each	training	program or	activit	y you hav	re been	
FER TO #	17, USE 1	(EXCELLEN	r), 2 (GOC	D), 3 (F	AIR), OR	4 (POOR)]	
.5			_				
1.	Why do	you feel t	hat way?				
					~	\$ *	
. 2	·						
2.	Why?			1			
	<i>'</i> —						
i			•		*	• 6	
_ /							
3./	Why?						
3./	Why?						
3./	Why?			,			
3./	Why?			*			
	ld you r FER TO #	ld you rate each FER TO #7, USE 1 1 Why do	ld you rate each training presented for the second	ld you rate each training program or FER TO #7, USE 1 (EXCELLENT), 2 (GOO	ld you rate each training program or activit FER TO #7, USE 1 (EXCELLENT), 2 (GOOD), 3 (F	ld you rate each training program or activity you have FER TO #7, USE 1 (EXCELLENT), 2 (GOOD), 3 (FAIR), OR 1 Why do you feel that way?	Id you rate each training program or activity you have been FER TO #7, USE 1 (EXCELLENT), 2 (GOOD), 3 (FAIR), OR 4 (POOR)] 1 Why do you feel that way?

d) .	yes (what)		·		
•					•	
\$						
•	2.	no			•	
5. [IF Y	ES TO	#15] Why didn't yo	ou get this	training?		
						· ·
	i.		ć			
						
		yes (what)				
•			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
4 %				<u> </u>		
	2.	no ·		·		• .
8. Do y	ou ha	ve a job waiting for	yoù when	you get out?		• • • • _
•	1. 2.	yes no [SKIP TO #27] no, going back to	school [S	KIP TO #27]		
	3.	no, going outle to	•	•		
.9. [IF) #18] Is this job		٠.		• • • •

	•		2			`	\		•		· ·	_	
								•		-			_
		•		•		- , -		<u> </u>	,			•	
								/					
								,	\				
How	did you	ı get	this j	ob?									
	· · · ·	· · ·		· 			•		.\	\			
· .			. •		· 					· ·			
												÷	
			:			•							-
Dic	i anyone	from s job	this i	nstitu	tion c	r fro	om an	outs	ide a	gency	, hel	p you	• •
	.1.	yes	(specif	y pers	on's t	itle	agen	cy)					
:			i	\ 									
			-						•				
	2.	no		1 :-								,	
[II	F YES TO aining y	#6] ou re	Is the	job y	ou hav s inst	e wai	iting ion?	for	you r	elate	ed to	any)	
	1.	yes	(explai	n)			:						<u> </u>
				<u>.</u>	. :	•	·		•				•
	• •					•			_				

24.	IF YES	TO	#6] Was the training yo	u receive	ed here helpful	in getting
	the jol					<u> </u>
		1.	yes	1 2.		
		2. 3.	no don't know		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				•	•	
25.			you have waiting for you		to jobs you ha	
			yes	,	-	
,		2. 3.	no previous outside jobs	5	•	•
	٠.					· · ·
26.	[IF YE	s To	#25] Is it better, wor	se, or ab	out the same?	· · · · · · · <u> </u>
		1	better (explain why)	•	<i>₹</i> .	
			Doctor (CMP14111 411)/			
••				, -	,	
				<u> </u>		
		2.	worse (explain why)		b	
А		ť	w			•
*	4.		te.			
		3.	about the same			
						•
•			te de la companya de			· ***
[S	KIP TO A	32]		. •		

				1						
					·	· ·		··		
2										
								\$3		
	- :			<u> </u>						
<u> </u>				· ·						
How do	you	plan to g	et this	type of	job?					
						····· .				
						· · · · · · · · ·				
	_	;		•					.,	
		ś <u> </u>					-			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,	<u> </u>		· · ·	٠.			
1		•			•				r	
get thi	is ty	from thippe of job	?		• • •		• • •	• • •		•
get thi	is ty	pe of job	?		• • •		• • •	• • •	ip you	
get thi	is ty	pe of job	?		• • •		• • •			• •
get thi	1. 2.	yes (spec	ify per		• • •		• • •			• •
get thi	1. 2. 3.	yes (spec	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3.	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
get thi	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno	ify per	son's ti		ency)				
[IF YES in this	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno #6] Is to stitution? yes (expl	ow this type	son's ti	relat	ed to an	y trai	ning yo	u rece	
[IF YES in this	2. 3. S TO s ins 1.	no don't kno #6] Is to stitution? yes (expl	ow this type	son's ti	relat	ed to an	y trai	ning yo	u rece	
[IF YES in this	2. 3. S TO s ins	no don't kno #6] Is to stitution? yes (expl	ow this type	son's ti	relat	ed to an	y trai	ning yo	u rece	



32.	What kinds	adot 1o	have you ha	d on the	outside?	[IF NONE	, WRITE "NO	DNE"]
	1.		i 	·				
r	2.			¥				
	3.	\$115				. ~	· ·	
	4.	-		 	1.1	*		
	4.							
33.	How old ar	e you?		•••			• •	years
34.	What is th	e highes R FROM 1	t grade in GED	school you	ı have co	mpleted? .	• •	grade
35.	Are you or	have yo	ou ever been	married?				
	1. 2. 3. 4.	married	ed or legall	y separat	ed	•	• •	
36.	[IF NECESS group?	ARY] Do	you consid	er yourse	lf a memi	per of a mi	inority	
	1.	B1 2. V	wh 3. SpA	4. Al or	E 5. Or	6. Other	:	
37.	[COMMENT C	N RAPPOE	RT, UNDERSTA	NDING, CO	NDITIONS	OF INTERV	IEW, ETC.]	
								٠.
				,				
,				. ,		•		
								

APPENDIX C

CONTACT FORM LETTERS



FORM LETTERS FOR STATE DIRECTORS

The purpose of this letter is to elicit your support for a nationwide survey of vocational preparation in correctional and training institutions for adult and juvenile offenders. A complete and objective picture is not currently available on a national scale. This study can fill this gap by describing the activities being undertaken to improve the employability of inmates. Our findings are intended to serve as a basis for future planning and for development of vocational preparation activities.

Battelle-Columbus, a not-for-profit research organization in Columbus, Ohio, is conducting this survey for the Manpower Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. Your assistance and cooperation in this effort are needed.

We realize that a number of federal, state, and private organizations are in almost constant communication with you and your local administrators concerning studies of correctional institutions and the inmates therein. We hope that you will find our study worthwhile and that you will convey that message to the superintendents of the institutions in

Enclosed is a draft of the questionnaires which will be used. Every effort has been made to keep the written answers to a minimum and to spread the effort among the appropriate personnel within each institution.

We would very much appreciate a letter from your department to the wardens or superintendents of correctional institutions in your state. This letter should indicate your support of the survey and should urge the warden and his staff to provide us with cooperation. Experience gained in a pretest of 160 institutions showed that a letter supporting our study will increase the cooperation of the institutions significantly. Enclosed is a suggested format for the letter. Would you please use this format (or one which you



feel more adequately expresses your feelings) and send us a signed letter on your official letterhead paper? We will reproduce the letter and send it to the institutions with the questionnaires. Enclosed is a list of the institutions that we will be contacting in this state.

Please be assured that all information will be treated confidentially, and individual institutions, and states will not be identified by name. Your assistance in helping us collect this information will be greatly appreciated. Upon completion of the study, you will receive a summary of our findings, and if you request it, a confidential report of the basic information for your state.

Livard W. Lery Girard W. Levy . Program Manager

GWL: paj

Enc.



SUGGESTED LETTER OF APPROVAL

Battelle's Columbus Laboratories, a not-for-profit research organization, is conducting a national survey of vocational preparation activities in correctional and training institutions for adult and juvenile offenders. The goal of this study is to develop a complete up-to-date picture of the vocational training currently available in these institutions. The results of this study are intended to serve as a basis for future planning and development of vocational preparation activities.

Data on a large number of institutions, summarized by various characteristics such as size, type and geographic location, will be extremely useful in developing ideas and plans for implementing new training opportunities in this state. I feel that this effort is extremely worthwhile and warrants your full cooperation. I urge you to complete the materials enclosed that require your attention and to encourage your staff to complete the other materials and return them to Battelle as soon as possible.

Sincerely,



FORM LETTER TO WARDENS OR SUPERINTENDENTS

Battelle-Columbus, a not-for-profit research organization in Columbus, Ohio, is conducting a nationwide survey of vocational-preparation activities in correctional and training institutions. The survey will cover all Federal and state institutions for adult and juvenile offenders in the United States.

Vocational preparation activities can include

- 1. Formal vocational training programs within the institution,
- Work assignments and on-the-job training for institutional maintenance or prison industries that prepare inmates for employment upon release, and
- Training opportunities outside the institution, such as day-release programs, industrial training, etc.

The purpose of our survey is to describe the scope and variety of current vocational-preparation programs and activities available to and used by inmates, regardless of the source of support. A complete and objective picture of the activities on a national scale is not presently available.

Accordingly, we would appreciate it if you would take a few minutes of your time to complete a short questionnaire, and to direct the longer, more detailed questionnaires to members of your staff directly responsible for the various activities. These questionnaires have been made as short and easy to answer as possible so that you will not need more than 15 or 20 minutes to complete them. Please return the short questionnaire on institutional data as soon as conveniently possible, regardless of whether or not your institution has any vocational-preparation activities. Your cooperation in seeing that the other staff members return these questionnaires would also be appreciated. Stamped return envelopes have been provided for each of the questionnaires.



Please be assured that all information will be treated confidentially, and no information will be published on individual institutions or states. The data will be compiled to describe the current status of vocational preparation and to serve as a basis for future planning and development of vocational-preparation activities.

Your assistance in collecting this information will be greatly appreciated. Upon completion of the study, you will receive a summary of our findings.

Sincerely,

Girard W. Levy
Project Director

* U.S. GOVERNEMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1976-211-136/1657